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Morning Session
8:00  Matins
8:45  Preparation for Opening Business Session
8:55  Convention Opening and Presentation of Gavel
9:00  Electronic Voting System
9:20  Registration, Credentials, and Elections (#19)
9:25  Standing Rules
9:40  Convention Schedule
9:50  President’s Report (Part 3)
10:20  Welcome and greetings from local representatives
10:25  Welcome and greetings from South Wisconsin District
10:30  Convention Essay—Upon This Rock: Repent, Confess, Rejoice
11:00  International Mission (#2)
11:35  National Mission (#1)
12:00  Recess

Afternoon Session
1:30  Midday Prayer—Rev. Nabil Nour
1:55  Registration, Credentials, and Elections (#19)
2:00  Response from Newly Elected President
2:05  Registration, Credentials, and Elections (#19)
2:10  Presentation of slates and balloting for
      - First Vice-President
      - Central Regional Vice-President
      - East-Southeast Regional Vice-President
      - Great Lakes Regional Vice-President
      - Great Plains Regional Vice-President
      - West-Southwest Regional Vice-President
5:30  Evening Prayer
6:00  Recess
7:30  LCEF Ice Cream Social (Ballroom, 1st floor)
Daily Worship

Essay – Upon this Rock: Repent, Confess, Rejoice

Essayist: Rev. Dr. Lawrence R. Rast Jr.

The Rev. Dr. Lawrence R. Rast Jr. serves as the sixteenth president of Concordia Theological Seminary (CTSFW), Fort Wayne, Ind., and professor of American Christianity and American Lutheranism. Rast joined the department of Historical Theology in the fall of 1996 after serving as pastor of Ascension Lutheran Church, Madison, Tenn. from 1992 to 1996.

Rast received his Bachelor of Arts in Theological Languages from Concordia College (now University), River Forest, Ill. in 1986. He earned a Master of Divinity in 1990 and a Master of Sacred Theology in 1995, both with a major in Historical Theology and a minor in Systematic Theology, from Concordia Theological Seminary, Fort Wayne, Ind. In 2000 he earned a Master of Arts, and in 2003 he earned his Ph.D. in American Church History from Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tenn.

Rast is a member of the Board of Directors for the journal Lutheran Quarterly. He is the chairman of The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod (LCMS) Commission on Theology and Church Relations (CTCR), on which he has served since 2006.

Rast has authored numerous articles on a wide range of topics with special interest in the growth and expansion of Lutheranism in the United States in the mid-to-late 19th century. He is also working on an ongoing oral history project dealing with African-American ministry in both the LCMS and the old Synod Conference. He is currently writing a history of the Synod for Concordia Publishing House.

In addition to serving at the Seminary, Rast regularly presents at workshops, retreats and conferences around the United States. He has represented the Seminary and Synod at numerous international conferences and by teaching in Argentina, Brazil, Tanzania, Kenya, South Africa, Ethiopia, Ghana Russia, Germany, Sweden, the Czech Republic and elsewhere throughout the world.

He and his wife Amy are blessed with three children: Lawrence III, Karl and Joanna.

Morning Worship

Preacher: Rev. Christopher S. Esget

The Rev. Christopher S. Esget is senior pastor of Immanuel Evangelical-Lutheran Church, Alexandria, Va., and superintendent of Immanuel Lutheran School. He also serves the Synod as sixth vice-president, representing the East-Southeast Region. He previously was pastor of Bethel Lutheran Church in Du Quoin, Ill.

Active in the pro-life movement, Esget leads Immanuel congregation as they host the annual gathering of Lutherans before the March for Life in Washington, D.C. A member of the LCMS Sanctity of Human Life Committee since 2009, he also serves as the Southeastern District’s Life Coordinator. He was a 2015 Washington Fellow of the National Review Institute.

In 2015 Esget taught intensive classes for pastors, evangelists and church musicians of the Ethiopian Evangelical Church Mekane Yesus (EECMY) in Addis Ababa. He has been invited to return in the fall of 2016 for additional teaching, preparing the way for a new hymnal for Ethiopian Lutherans.

Esget has served as chaplain for numerous Synod events, including the 2013 and 2015 LCMS Life Conferences, the 2014 LCMS Institute on Liturgy, Preaching and Church Music, and the 2015 Free to Be Faithful conference. He was
conference chaplain for Higher Things in 2006 and 2007 and served as their worship coordinator from 2007 to 2008. He is a regular contributor to the Lutheran Witness blog.

A classically trained pianist, Esget studied piano performance with teachers at Augsburg College in Minneapolis and the University of Minnesota. He graduated summa cum laude from Berklee College of Music (Boston, Mass., now merged with Boston Conservatory) with an emphasis in film scoring. He received Master of Divinity and Master of Sacred Theology degrees from Concordia Theological Seminary, Fort Wayne, Ind.

Esget lives in Alexandria, Va. with his wife Kassie and their son James.

Afternoon Worship

Preacher: Rev. Nabil S. Nour

The Rev. Nabil S. Nour is fifth vice-president and a member of the Praesidium of The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod (LCMS). Elected to the position in 2013, he represents the church’s Great Plains Region. He also serves as pastor of Trinity Lutheran Church, Hartford, S.D.


Born in Nazareth, Israel, Nour moved with his family to Haifa when he was three and lived on the very slopes of Mount Carmel where Elijah once hid from Jezebel. Nour immigrated to the United States when he was 16 and eventually became a naturalized citizen. He also underwent adult instruction in the Lutheran faith.

Nour graduated in 1978 from Huron College, Huron, S.D., with a Bachelor of Science in Criminology and Sociology and minors in psychology and gerontology. He worked in the construction industry before entering Concordia Seminary, St. Louis in 1989. Upon his graduation from the seminary in 1994 with a Master of Divinity, he was called to Redeemer Lutheran Church, Armour, S.D., where he served from 1994 to 2015.

Today Nour is completing studies for a doctorate in Homiletics at the seminary and writing two books and a Bible study on the book of Ruth. He is a trained reconciler, and he travels widely to preach and teach, including speaking on how to live a Christian life in a postmodern world and witnessing among Muslims.

Nour and his wife Jean live in Sioux Falls, S.D. They are blessed with three grown sons, two daughters-in-law and six grandchildren.

Convention Chaplains

Chaplain: Rev. William C. Weedon

The Rev. William C. Weedon serves as The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod (LCMS) International Center (IC) director of Worship and as the IC chaplain. As director of Worship, Weedon is responsible for proposing and creating programs that carry out the purposes and aims of the Synod in matters of worship. As IC chaplain, he works with the Office of the President in planning and supervising all chapel services at the IC (for the 1333 S. Kirkwood building and the LCEF building), as well as offering informal chaplaincy and counseling services to employees as requested.

Weedon brings more than 25 years of ministry to this position. Most recently, he served as pastor at St. Paul Lutheran Church in Hamel, Ill. from 1992 to 2012. From 1986 to 1992, he served as pastor at Redeemer Lutheran Church, Burlington, N.C. He is an author, contributor and reviser for Concordia Publishing House (CPH). His most
recent works include Celebrating the Saints (CPH, 2016), as a contributor to The Apocrypha: Lutheran Study Edition with Notes (CPH, 2012), and numerous sermons and studies in Concordia Pulpit Resources.

Weedon’s service to the Church also includes presenting at conferences on preaching and on the liturgy and hymnody. He hosts a daily radio show on KFUO called “Thy Strong Word” that explores a chapter of the Scripture each day with assistance from various pastors and professors around the Synod.

Weedon holds a bachelor’s degree from Concordia College—New York, Bronxville, N.Y., and Master of Divinity and Master of Sacred Theology degrees from Concordia Seminary, St. Louis.

Weedon and his wife Cynthia reside in Hamel, Ill. They have three grown children: Lauren (and husband, the Rev. Dean Herberts); David (and wife, Meaghan); and Rebekah. They are also blessed with five grandchildren.

Assistant Chaplain: Rev. Peter C. Bender

The Rev. Peter C. Bender has been the pastor of Peace Lutheran Church and Academy in Sussex, Wis. since 1991. In 1995 he led the congregation in forming the Academy, a classical elementary school in the Lutheran and liberal arts traditions. He is also the founder and director of Concordia Catechetical Academy and an adjunct professor in catechetics for the deaconess program at Concordia Theological Seminary, Fort Wayne, Ind. He received his Bachelor of Music Education from Concordia University Chicago, River Forest, Ill. in 1983 and a Master of Divinity from Concordia, Fort Wayne in 1987.

Bender has done extensive work in the area of Lutheran catechesis, which he defines as “God's way of teaching the new life in Christ and His forgiveness to sinners.” He is the general editor of The Lutheran Catechesis Series and the author of a number of volumes in that series, including Lutheran Catechesis, Catechumen Edition: A Guide to Catechesis for the Lutheran Catechumen and Family (Second Edition) and Lutheran Catechesis, Catechist Edition: A Comprehensive Guide to Catechesis for a Lutheran Congregation (Second Edition).

Bender also served on the Agenda Committee for Lutheran Service Book. His particular areas of responsibility were the membership rites, including the rites of Holy Baptism, First Communion, Confirmation and Guidelines for Pastoral Examination of Catechumens. He also did extensive work on Lutheran Service Book: Pastoral Care Companion.

Bender is married to Elizabeth. They have four sons and four grandchildren.
Special Standing Rules

Minutes
1. Minutes shall be distributed every next morning for consideration and adoption and will be included in the issue of Today’s Business on the day following adoption. The first item of business of the afternoon session shall be the formal approval of these minutes, with the exception of the final day’s minutes, which shall be approved by the Board of Directors at its first meeting following the convention. Only corrections to the minutes involving convention actions shall be offered from the floor for consideration. Editorial corrections addressing misspellings of names or faulty references or titles should be provided in writing to the Secretary for incorporation into the minutes.

Resolutions
2. Resolutions shall be prioritized by floor committees and normally shall be presented to the convention in numerical sequence. However, resolutions that impact nominations or elections may be given earlier consideration at the discretion of the chair, in consultation with the floor committee chairman and the chairman of the floor committees on nominations and elections.
3. The preface, preamble, rationale, and whereas sections shall be regarded as integral parts of resolutions and therefore subject to the same consideration and adoption.
4. It shall be the discretion of the chairman or presenting member of the floor committee to read the preface, preamble, rationale, and whereas sections of resolutions from floor committees that are printed in Today’s Business. In addition, the chair of the convention is not required to read each resolution as he states the questions and then as he calls for the vote.
5. The convention chairman, in consultation with floor committee chairmen, may identify resolutions to which up to 1 ½ hours of continuous consideration should be allotted, as follows:
   - 15 minutes (if needed) Floor committee presentation
   - 30 minutes (if needed) Debate on the main motion
   - 45 minutes (if needed) Presentation of and debate on amendments, substitute motions, main motion, or main motion as amended.
6. Whenever possible, substitute resolutions and amendments to proposed resolutions shall be submitted to Today’s Business for publication prior to convention consideration of the resolutions to which they pertain. When this is not possible, as in the course of discussion, one written copy of the proposed amendment shall be provided to the Secretary after it is offered from the floor.
7. The delegates will consider omnibus resolutions as part of regular scheduled business. Omnibus A refers to overtures referred to a board, commission or other group of Synod to consider and take action. Omnibus B refers to overtures that affirm an action previously taken in past conventions. Omnibus C refers to overtures of thanks or recognition. Omnibus D refers to overtures declined by the floor committee. A majority vote is required to remove an overture from an omnibus resolution and have it referred to the appropriate floor committee for action.
8. If any resolution is not acted upon by the convention before adjournment, it dies and the subject matter may be reintroduced for possible consideration by an overture to the next convention.

Process
9. Unless covered by these Special Standing Rules, parliamentary procedure shall be governed by the most recent edition of Robert’s Rules of Order Newly Revised
10. The chair shall see that the agenda is followed as closely as possible. The chair may deviate from the printed program when necessary or expedient.
11. All non-voting advisory delegates and representatives may participate in debate, but may not nominate candidates, make motions, or vote.
12. Registered delegates and advisory representatives wishing to address the convention, once recognized by the chair, shall state their name, the name of the district (or other entity of the Synod that they represent), and whether they are a voting delegate, advisory delegate, or advisory representative. Delegates and representatives shall normally address the convention from their assigned microphones.
13. A microphone queue list will be enacted for every debatable motion considered by the assembly. Each time a debatable motion is considered by the assembly, a new microphone queue list will be created and the queue list from any previous motion will be cleared.
14. The pro-con method of debate may be used at the discretion of the chair or by adoption of the assembly. When a member of the floor committee speaks in favor of a resolution, it shall be recognized as a “pro” speech and will be followed by a “con” speech.

15. Delegates and representatives wishing to enter the microphone queue for any reason (debate, make an amendment, call the question, request information or any other action) must always identify themselves, as pro or con speakers, by pressing either 1(pro) or 2 (con) on their keypad even if the assembly is not in a pro-con mode. This queue identification is necessary so delegates and representatives are appropriately reflected in the queue should the chair choose to move to a pro-con method of debate. All queue entrees will be addressed as they appear in the queue order. When called upon by the chair, delegates and representatives must identify themselves and state the desired action.

16. Ordinarily, questions of privilege (or point of personal privilege) shall be addressed in writing to the chair or his appointee.

17. No delegate or representative may speak in debate any longer than two (2) minutes per speech. No delegate or representative may speak more than twice on the same time on the same day and may not speak a second time until all delegates and representatives desiring to speak the first time have had the opportunity. The chair shall have discretion to make exceptions to this rule. When a floor committee is called on to answer a question or to give information, this rule shall not apply.

18. A delegate who speaks on an issue may not move to call the question at the close of his or her speech.

19. If a substitute motion is offered and seconded, the presenter shall be offered two (2) minutes to provide the rationale for offering it and a member of the floor committee shall be offered two (2) minutes to present rationale for why the committee believes the original motion is to be preferred. The convention shall decide by majority vote whether or not to consider the substitute. If the decision is in the affirmative and if the substitute motion is subsequently adopted, the original motion dies. If the substitute motion does not receive favorable action either to consider or adopt, the original motion is again considered.

20. A motion to close debate (“call the question”) shall apply only to the immediately pending question.

21. While any voting delegate may move to close debate (call the question) at any time that it is his or her turn to speak, a vote on closing debate (two-thirds vote required) on the pending motion shall be put by the chair after every twenty (20) minutes of debate (except those to which Standing Rule 5 pertain). The chair may also call for a vote on closing debate (two-thirds vote required) when in his judgment the assembly has heard sufficient speaking from both sides of the issue.

22. Amendments of non-contiguous words may be made to a pending question so long as the consequence of such non-contiguous words does not constitute in effect a substitute motion.

23. During the last two days of the convention, the President shall have the privilege of calling up for action those resolutions that in his judgment must be acted upon before adjournment.

24. No motion to reconsider or rescind shall be made or called up during the last day of the convention.

**Floor Nominations**

25. No floor nominations will be accepted for the First Vice-President, regional vice-presidents, or regional board elections (Bylaws 3.12.2.1; 3.12.2.5[d]). In the case of election of the Secretary and board and commission members that do not require regional representation, floor nominations are allowed, to take place at the time of the report of the chairman of the Committee for Convention Nominations (Bylaw 3.12.3.7). Such floor nominations may only be made from the list of names which have previously been offered to the Committee for Convention Nominations prior to the final deadline for the submission of nominations, unless the convention shall otherwise order by a simple majority vote (Bylaw 3.12.3.7[c]). Allowable floor nominations for all positions of boards and commissions shall be open for a period of forty (40) minutes, unless ceased earlier by a two-thirds vote of the assembly. At the end of forty (40) minutes, a vote to cease nominations shall be called by the convention chairman. If a two-thirds vote to cease nominations is not received, the period for floor nominations shall be extended an additional twenty (20) minutes. This cycle shall continue in twenty (20) minute intervals until a two-thirds vote for nominations to cease is received from the assembly. Floor nominations are not debatable and will be voted on individually for approval to be added to the slate (Bylaw 3.12.37[a–b]).

**Voting**

26. An electronic response system shall ordinarily be used to register votes. If the system is not available, the chair shall take votes by voice, by show of hands, or by a rising vote. The chair may order a count if any voice, show of hands, or rising vote seems inconclusive. When possible, vote tallies shall be
recorded in the minutes.

27. An invalid vote is defined as a vote entered on the keypad with a number not offered as a selection, or entered before voting is opened or after voting is closed. Invalid votes are not counted in the total number of votes cast.

28. The privilege of granting delegates the right to record their votes in the minutes with respect to a particular resolution shall be granted by the assembly by a majority vote without debate. If such privilege is granted, the votes of record shall be submitted in writing to the Secretary of the Synod within 15 minutes after the close of the day’s business on which such privilege was granted.

**Use of Electronic Devices**

29. In order to promote an orderly and non-distracting convention atmosphere, the following shall apply to the use, and area of use, of electronic equipment, including wired and wireless communication devices, laptops, iPads, tablets, cell phones, smart phones, pagers, PDAs, or other similar electronic devices. In all cases where use is permitted, the sound-producing capabilities of such devices shall not be used. Except as approved by convention staff, personal extension cords shall not be allowed on the convention floor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Convention Hall</th>
<th>Voice</th>
<th>Type of Usage Permitted in Designated Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voting and Advisory Delegates &amp; Representatives</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Text Message Other electronic Communications, e.g., laptops, iPads, tablets, pagers, PEDs, smart phones, wearable technology including Apple Watch and like devices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP, BOD, CCM, COH, CTCR, Press, Special Guests</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Laptop computers, iPads, tablets WITH communications disabled (e.g., Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, Cellular, and Infrared communications turned off)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitors, Back Seating Area</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention Staff, All Areas</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

---

---
Convention Schedule
The 66th Regular Convention of The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod
July 9–14, 2016 • Wisconsin Center • Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Floor committee meetings will be held July 8–9, 2016. The schedule is considered tentative as Bylaw 3.1.9(i)(2) states that the President “shall, at the first session and during the course of succeeding sessions of the convention, announce the order of business for the day and following days.” Unless otherwise indicated, all activities take place in the Wisconsin Center.

Sunday—July 10, 2016
Exhibits open 10:00am—3:00pm (Hall D, 3rd floor)
8:00  Matins—Rev. Christopher Esget
8:45  Preparation for Opening Business Session
8:55  Convention Opening and Presentation of Gavel
9:00  Electronic Voting System
9:25  Standing Rules
9:40  Convention Schedule
10:20  President’s Report (Part 3)
10:25  Welcome and greetings from local representatives
10:30  Convention Essay—Rev. Dr. Lawrence Rast Jr.
       Upon This Rock: Repent, Confess, Rejoice
11:00  International Mission (#2)
11:35  National Mission (#1)
12:00  Recess
1:30  Midday Prayer—Rev. Nabil Nour
1:55  Registration, Credentials, and Elections (#19)
       (Announce presidential election result)
2:00  Response from Newly Elected President
2:05  Registration, Credentials, and Elections (#19)
       (Voting delegate registration report)
2:10  Presentation of slate and balloting for First Vice-President
2:20  Presentation of slate and balloting for Central Regional Vice-President
2:30  Presentation of slate and balloting for East-Southeast Regional Vice-President
2:40  Presentation of slate and balloting for Great Lakes Regional Vice-President
2:50  Presentation of slate and balloting for Great Plains Regional Vice-President
3:00  Presentation of slate and balloting for West-Southwest Regional Vice-President
3:10  Election to determine ranking of vice-presidents
3:20  Committee for Convention Nominations (Nominations from the floor for Secretary)
3:40  Omnibus Resolution A
3:50  Routes to Ministry (#13) Introduction
4:20  Reformation (#15)
4:45  Mercy (#3)
5:30  Evening Prayer
6:00  Recess
7:30  LCEF Ice Cream Social (Ballroom, 1st floor)

Monday—July 11, 2016
Exhibits open 10:00am—3:00pm (Hall D, 3rd floor)
8:00  Service of Confession and Absolution—Rev. Herbert C. Mueller Jr.
8:25  Greetings—Concordia Publishing House, Dr. Bruce Kintz
8:30  Structure and Administration (#11)
9:15  Church and Culture (#14)
9:50  Registration, Credentials, and Elections (#19)
       (Balloting for Secretary of Synod and Regional Members of Synod Board of Directors)
       Upon This Rock: Repent
10:50  Theology and Church Relations (#5)
11:30  Routes to Ministry (#13)
12:00  Recess
1:30  Responsive Prayer 2—Rev. Gregory Seltz
1:55  Minutes
2:00  Seminaries (#6)
2:35  Special Presentation—Ryan Anderson
3:15  Committee for Convention Nominations (Nominations for Synod Board of Directors, other boards, CTCR, Board of Regents and synodwide entities)
3:55  Special Presentation—military chaplains, Rev. Craig Muehler
4:05  Ecclesiastical Supervision and Dispute Resolution (#12)
Tuesday—July 12, 2016  
Exhibits open 10:00am—3:00pm (Hall D, 3rd floor)  

8:00 Matins—Rev. Kou Seying  
8:25 Special Recognition—newly elected and outgoing Praesidium  
8:30 Routes to Ministry (#13)  
9:40 Church and Culture (#14)  
10:05 Convention Essay—Rev. Daniel Preus  
10:35 Upon This Rock: Confess  
11:20 International Mission (#2)  
12:00 Recess  
1:30 Responsive Prayer 1—Rev. Dr. John Wohlrabe Jr.  
1:55 Minutes  
2:00 Registration, Credentials, and Elections (#19)  
(Balloting for Synod Board of Directors, otherboards, CTCR, Board of Regents, synodwide entities)  
2:45 Omnibus Resolution B  
2:55 Omnibus Resolution C  
3:05 Registration, Credentials, and Elections (#19)  
(Balloting for Synod Board of Directors, otherboards, CTCR, Board of Regents, synodwide entities)  
3:50 Routes to Ministry (#13)  
4:25 Structure and Administration (#11)  
5:20 Preaching and Church Worker Continuing Education (#17)  
5:45 Closing Worship  
6:00 Recess  
7:30 President-Elect’s Reception (Ballroom, 1st floor)  

Wednesday—July 13, 2016  
Exhibits Closed  

8:00 Matins—Rev. Allan Buss  
8:25 Greetings—Lutheran Hour Ministries, Kurt Buchholz  
9:00 Greetings—Lutheran Women’s Missionary League, Patti Ross  
9:15 Finance (#9)  
9:55 Convention Essay—Rev. Dr. Berhanu Ofgaa  
Upon This Rock: Rejoice  
10:25 Special Recognition—LCMS President Emeriti  
10:35 Parochial Schools (#8)  
11:00 Stewardship: Funding the Mission (#10)  
11:25 Seminaries (#6)  
12:00 Recess  
1:30 Commemoration of the Faithful Departed—Rev. Dr. John Wille  
2:00 Minutes  
2:05 Registration, Credentials, and Elections (#19)  
(Balloting for Synod Board of Directors, otherboards, CTCR, Board of Regents, synodwide entities)  
2:45 Omnibus Resolution B  
2:55 Omnibus Resolution C  
3:25 Registration, Credentials, and Elections (#19)  
(Balloting for Synod Board of Directors, otherboards, CTCR, Board of Regents, synodwide entities)  
3:50 Routes to Ministry (#13)  
4:25 Structure and Administration (#11)  
5:20 Preaching and Church Worker Continuing Education (#17)  
5:45 Closing Worship  
6:00 Recess  
7:30 President-Elect’s Reception (Ballroom, 1st floor)  

Thursday—July 14, 2016  
Exhibits Closed  

8:00 Matins—Rev. Dr. Scott Murray  
8:25 Minutes  
8:30 Worker Wellness (#18)  
9:50 University Education (#7)  
10:15 National Mission (#1)  
10:40 Life Together (#4)  
11:10 Unfinished Business  
11:50 Itinerarium  
12:00 Adjournment
Presidential Election Results

The following report of the results of the June 11–14 electronic balloting for the election of the President of The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod for the 2016–2019 triennium was received from Election-America, Inc. on June 15, 2016, and publicly announced the same day, following notification of the candidates. Matthew C. Harrison was elected. The official statement received from Election-America, Inc. read as follows:

Official Statement of the Online Vote
2016 LCMS Presidential Vote

Provided by Election-America, Inc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Votes</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew C. Harrison</td>
<td>3,507</td>
<td>56.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dale A. Meyer</td>
<td>2,257</td>
<td>36.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David P.E. Maier</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>6.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Ballots</td>
<td>6,157</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Electorate</td>
<td>7,348</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage Voted</td>
<td>83.79%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This report is the culmination of preparations that began shortly after the 2013 LCMS convention, including again contracting for the services of Election-America, the registration and certification of delegates in attendance at the Synod’s 2015 district conventions (the electorate), maintenance of that voters list for more than a year, and voter preparation for participation by using the Internet to cast their ballots.

Communication efforts to educate all involved regarding the election process included blogs, postcards, the LCMS Internet site, notices in official periodicals, and email transmissions. As a result, voter participation was extremely high, with 83.79 percent of the electorate participating (3,046 ordained and 3,111 lay voters representing 3,865 congregations or parishes from 575 of the Synod’s 578 electoral circuits).

Broken down according to size of eligible congregations that had at least one voter participate—
- 87% of small congregations (0–199 confirmed members) participated (1,386 of a possible 2,109)
- 93% of medium congregations (200–499 confirmed members) participated (1,360 of a possible 1,466)
- 94% of large congregations with 500–999 confirmed members participated (445 of a possible 474)
- 95% of mega congregations (1,000+ confirmed members) participated (224 of a possible 236).

During the four days of electronic balloting, Election-America was available to provide immediate assistance to voters, receiving 81 telephone calls and 36 emails. Several dozen eligibility issues were handled by the Office of the Secretary with the assistance of the Rosters and Statistics Department.

Raymond L. Hartwig, Secretary
1st Vice-President Election Ballot

The 2016 convention will mark the second time that the new process for determining the slate of candidates for the election of the First Vice-President will be used. The first step in the process was the Synod-wide balloting that ended February 9, 2016, which resulted in a list of the 20 top vote-getters who agreed to allow their names to be included in the list from which the President-elect would choose the five candidates for the election of the First Vice-President. For more information regarding the nominating process and its results, see page 10 of the Biographical Synopses & Statements of Nominees booklet that accompanied the Convention Workbook published several months prior to the convention.

The second step in the process is provided by Bylaw 3.12.2.6:

3.12.2.6 Prior to all other elections, the convention shall elect the First Vice-President.
   (a) The President-elect shall select from the list of 20 nominees for the Office of First Vice-President five nominees who have consented to serve if elected, at least two of whom shall be taken from the top five nominees.
   (b) Balloting will proceed with the candidate receiving the smallest number of votes eliminated from consideration until one candidate receives a majority of the votes cast, who shall be declared elected.

As required, President-elect Matthew Harrison has selected five of the 20 nominees who received the most ballots in the nominating process and who consented to serve if elected. As required by Bylaw 3.12.2.6 (a), two of the five are taken from the top five nominees. The candidates, therefore, for the election of the First Vice-President of The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod are the following:

   Carl C. Fickenscher, II (IN)
   Herbert C. Mueller, Jr. (MO)
   Scott R. Murray (TX)
   Daniel Preus (MO)
   John C. Wohlrabe, Jr. (SW)

Biographical information regarding these candidates is provided on pages 12–22 of the Biographical Synopses & Statements of Nominees booklet included with the mailing of the Convention Workbook.

The final step in the process, the actual election of the First Vice-President, will be the first election to take place at the convention.

Raymond L. Hartwig, Secretary
Corrections to 2016 Workbook

The following paragraph was omitted from page 98 under the “Qualifications” paragraph of the Biographical Synopses & Statements of Nominees 2016 booklet:

Restrictions: No more than one member elected by the Synod shall be from the same district, and no executive, faculty member, or staff member from a Lutheran institution of higher education may serve on the Board of Directors of Concordia University system as a voting member. District of current board member not up for reelection: Nebraska.

Late Reports

R62 (Addendum A)

More FAQ’s Re: 4-06A Task Force Proposals

Question: Do the recommendations of the 4-06A Task Force eliminate the work and services of the men in the Licensed Lay Deacon program?

Answer: No. The recommendations of the 406-A Task Force (TF) in essence do two things. First, they provide a means by which deacons who are serving as pastors will be formally recognized by the Synod as pastors. These men have been faithfully preaching and presiding regularly for the Lord’s Supper in their congregations and are providing pastoral ministry. They should be recognized as such in a clear manner. Second, efforts to provide practical theological training for lay people to serve (and be licensed by the district) in congregations in many other areas of congregational life, especially evangelism, are strongly encouraged. All of this is consistent with the Scriptures and Book of Concord (AC & Ap XIV).

Question: Why are the TF recommendations formalized?

Answer: The recommendations are intended to be a means to enhance the ministry of these men and their congregations. District lay training programs are encouraged by the recommendations. There is also available a formal training track from accredited Concordia Universities and our seminaries that will have consistency and unity of curriculum.

Question: What specifically does the TF recommend for licensed lay deacons who are regularly preaching and presiding for the Lord’s Supper in a congregation?

Answer: The TF proposes they undergo a special colloquy process, regionally based, to examine them and approve them as “able to teach” (1 Timothy 3:2), certified for call and placement as pastors, thereby recognizing them by the whole Synod for what they actually do. They would be ordained, that is recognized and placed in the office of pastor by the whole church. They would then be included on the Synod’s roster as a “specific ministry pastor” (SMP), that is, a pastor under the supervision of a “general pastor” (someone with more training and experience), just as a licensed lay deacon is presently under supervision.

Question: Isn’t this then just some kind of name change? Licensed deacon to SMP Pastor?

Answer: The pastoral ministry is an office established by God to which we are bound by the divine command, but it is an office of service, for the purpose of preaching the Gospel and administering the Sacraments on behalf of all. Ordination is the churchly order which confirms the call, a practice which
we for those reasons gladly affirm and practice. Ordination makes clear to the man and to the gathered congregation what he is to be and to do in their midst.

Question: Isn’t the SMP program at the seminary expensive and take a lot of time?

Answer: First of all, we have to make a distinction here. The TF recommendations do not require present licensed lay deacons to enter the seminary SMP program with expensive fees and tuition. The TF is recommending a regional process that will bring these men onto Synod’s roster of SMP pastors by colloquy, that is, by an examination and approval process separate from the seminaries. However, for the future, the TF recommendations, if adopted, commit the Synod to raise significant funds so that no one would be turned away from the seminary SMP or Ethnic Immigrant Institute of Theology for financial reasons. Financial support from the congregation and individual is expected, to demonstrate commitment to the program, but the Synod and District will develop the means to ensure that no qualified candidate is turned away for purely financial reasons.

Question: What do the 4-06A TF recommendations mean for men who are not interested in pursuing pastoral ministry?

Answer: A major TF emphasis is on outreach and evangelism. Specialized training and education will continue to be made available from our University system or perhaps through the districts in order to optimize the skill sets of the men (and women) who wish to serve either in outreach or the internal ministry of congregations and districts.

Question: Why does the TF report suggest an age limit for men interested in the SMP Colloquy?

Answer: The TF recognizes the need for assuring that every congregation has the best options to remain active and growing, and the suggested age is just that – a suggested age. In general, the TF hopes that younger men will seek the most thorough training that is possible and feasible while understanding that older men may not be able to consider further education as a realistic possibility. The TF also offers a suggested way to identify and raise up men for future service, especially in small, rural, and specialized ministries when the current men are no longer interested or able to continue.

Question: What will happen in the future when the current men who will be colloquized to the SMP roster die or retire and new needs arise?

Answer: Two things: First, the Synod will need to be sure the seminary SMP programs are enhanced and that the Synod and Districts provide enough help so that no one is turned away from SMP or other specialized programs for purely financial reasons. Second, the Synod could continue to provide means for qualified men in difficult situations to be colloquized to the SMP roster in the future (in the same manner that the TF is proposing for present licensed deacons).

Question: Has the TF considered a way of grandfathering the current men who are not likely to be involved beyond a few more years?

Answer: Yes, the TF has discussed this matter, and recognizes men who anticipate having five years or less before they discontinue their services may receive special consideration. How the Synod deals with this matter would have to be agreed by all parties so that the issue of LLDs does not continue to be a source of division in the Synod.

Question: Is there a means to identify future workers?

Answer: Some districts are already arranging mechanisms by which men can be identified by congregations and prepared for service in the event of the need to replace a deacon. These men should participate in lay training, either in the district or in one of the Concordias, to be prepared to enter a formal SMP program from one of our seminaries; including the likelihood of him being immediately appointed as a SMP vicar who may be allowed to participate in Word and Sacrament ministry under supervision. The Synod could also make an SMP Colloquy route available to him.
Questions: What happens if a deacon currently involved in Word and Sacrament ministry does not meet the deadline? What happens to the congregation?

Answer: First of all, in making these recommendations the TF does not believe that any congregation will be forced to close its doors, nor does the TF in any way desire that. This is not at all the intent. We wish only to recognize as pastors the men who are already doing the work of a pastor in the congregation. The TF anticipates that as long as men have applied for the colloquy by whatever date the Synod chooses as a deadline, he will be able to proceed through the process (one district is already implementing this provision). The TF also supports the idea that in special circumstances and where there is a request from the Council of Presidents to that effect, the Colloquy Committee may waive date provisions regarding any “deadline.”

Question: Do the TF recommendations recognize the Lutheran Confessions with stronger authority over Holy Scripture?

Answer: No. Holy Scripture is the authority for all teaching and practice in the church. The Bible requires that the church appoint ministers of the Gospel (or “pastors” as we typically refer to this office). All believers are priests, but not all are “apostles, prophets, teachers” (1 Cor 12:29)—only “some” are appointed to the office of public ministry in its various forms (Eph 4:11). Since not all are sent or called to preach and teach publicly for the church (Romans 10:15; James 3:1), every church needs a process whereby it will have a pastor (Acts 14:23 “elder” is another biblical term for pastors). The Task Force recommendations suggest an orderly recognition for those who are serving churches as ministers of the Gospel as well as suggestions for how to continue to supply this critical need in the future. The Lutheran Confessions are a correct exposition of Scripture which the Synod as a whole willingly accepts without reservation, so the report quotes freely from them as well.

Question: Is this TF report nothing more than another “hurdle” or “hoop” these men must complete; only to discover years from now that yet another requirement is placed in front of them?

Answer: The TF recommendations are meant to address the Synod’s responsibility to bring a foundation of unity; and enhance ministries and missions throughout all thirty-five districts in the Synod. The recommendations recognize a professional “ladder” that would enable (but not require) a man to move beyond a Specific Ministry and become a general pastor beyond their specific locale. Those whom the church asks to preach regularly and administer the Sacraments in a congregation should be examined and found “able to teach” (1 Timothy 3:2), then called, placed and recognized by the whole church (ordained) as a pastor.
9. PROGRAM AND FINANCE

To Report Operating and Capital Expenditures for Implementing Resolutions
Proposed by Committees 1-18 to the 2016 Milwaukee Convention. Per Bylaw 3.1.7(g).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Res. No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Impacts</th>
<th>Funds included in budget and future plans</th>
<th>Potential operating budget increases for fiscal years</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-01</td>
<td>To Expand Evangelism and Encourage Use of Every One His Witness</td>
<td>ONM</td>
<td>$ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ -</td>
<td>- $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ -</td>
<td>100k UR; balance Rest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-02</td>
<td>To Encourage Church Planting throughout the Synod and Supporting the &quot;Mission Field: USA&quot; Initiative</td>
<td>ONM</td>
<td>$272,000 $272,000 $272,000 $644,000 $644,000 $644,000</td>
<td>$10,000-$15,000 $10,000-$15,000 $10,000-$15,000 $10,000-$15,000 $10,000-$15,000</td>
<td>cost reflected in 1-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-03</td>
<td>To Encourage Immigrant and Refugee Outreach</td>
<td>ONM</td>
<td>$ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ -</td>
<td>- $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ -</td>
<td>no additional cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-04</td>
<td>To Thank God for Faithful Service of LCMS Missionaries</td>
<td>OIM</td>
<td>$30,000 $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ -</td>
<td>- $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ -</td>
<td>UR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-01</td>
<td>To Maintain Proper Balance in Foreign Missions between Congregations' Right of Self-Governance, the Role of Districts, and Our Commitment to Walk Together</td>
<td>OIM/OTP</td>
<td>$ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ -</td>
<td>- $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ -</td>
<td>no additional cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-06</td>
<td>To Commend and Encourage Work of LCMS Disaster Response</td>
<td>ONM</td>
<td>$30,000 $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ -</td>
<td>- $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ -</td>
<td>UR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-01</td>
<td>To Create Task Force for Study of Issues Relating to Procreation, Fertility, and Care for Unborn</td>
<td>ONM</td>
<td>$ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ -</td>
<td>- $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ -</td>
<td>UR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-04</td>
<td>To Convene Task Force to Review Recognized Service Organization Program and Bylaws</td>
<td>ONM</td>
<td>$ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ -</td>
<td>- $ - $ - $ - $ - $ -</td>
<td>UR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-03</td>
<td>To Reaffirm Witness, Mercy, Life Together as the Mission and Ministry Emphasis for the 2016 - 2019 Triennium</td>
<td>President's Office</td>
<td>$ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ -</td>
<td>- $ - $ - $ -</td>
<td>no additional cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-05</td>
<td>To Affirm and Encourage the Work of the International Lutheran Council (ILC)</td>
<td>Church Relations</td>
<td>$136,000 $136,000 $136,000 $14,000 $14,000 $14,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>UR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-07</td>
<td>To Commend and Encourage Faithful Teaching of Article on Which the Church Stands or Falls</td>
<td>CTCR</td>
<td>$ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ - $ -</td>
<td>- $ - $ - $ - $ -</td>
<td>no additional cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res. No.</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Impacts</td>
<td>Funds included in budget and future plans</td>
<td>Potential operating budget increases for fiscal years</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-01</td>
<td>To Create a Pastoral Formation Committee</td>
<td>Pastoral Education</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-04</td>
<td>To Encourage and Support the Global Seminary Initiative (GSI)</td>
<td>Church Relations</td>
<td>$1.2M</td>
<td>$1.2M</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-05</td>
<td>To Encourage Movement Toward an Alternative to Federal Student Loans for Seminarians</td>
<td>Pastoral Education</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-06</td>
<td>To Encourage Use of Existing Training Programs for Pastoral Ministry in Immigrant and Challenging Ministry Settings</td>
<td>OnM</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-02</td>
<td>To Preserve Concordia Colleges and Universities as Institutions of the Church and Strengthen Their Structural Bonds with Synod</td>
<td>OnM</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$20,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-04</td>
<td>To Study and Recommend Improvements to the Process to Consolidate, Relocate, Separate, or Divest a College or University</td>
<td>CUS</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-02</td>
<td>To Recruit and Equip Lutheran Administrators for Service in Early Childhood Centers and Schools</td>
<td>OnM</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-03</td>
<td>To Support the Quality and Sustainability of Lutheran Schools Through the Work of the Blue Ribbon Committee on Lutheran Schools</td>
<td>OnM</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-01</td>
<td>To Hold National and District Conventions Every Four Years</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-02</td>
<td>To Clarify Four-Year Convention Cycle Ramifications</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-07</td>
<td>To Amend Constitution to Grant Lay Vote to Every Congregation</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-08</td>
<td>To Amend Bylaws re District Administration and Electoral Circuit Requirements</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res. No.</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Authoring Body</td>
<td>2016/17</td>
<td>2017/18</td>
<td>2018/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-10</td>
<td>To Authorize Review of Synod Nominations/Elections Processes</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-12</td>
<td>To Clarify Synod Administration Matters</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-05</td>
<td>To Expand the Composition of the Hearing Panels</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-09</td>
<td>To Update and Amend Dispute Resolution Process</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-01</td>
<td>To Extol and Equip the Blessed Partnership Between the Royal Priesthood and the Office of the Public Ministry</td>
<td>OTP</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-02</td>
<td>To Regularize the Status of Licensed Lay Deacons involved in Word and Sacrament Ministry</td>
<td>OTP/Pastoral Education</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-03</td>
<td>To Address Future Church Leadership Needs in Light of Current and Future Challenges</td>
<td>Pastoral Education</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-03</td>
<td>To Respond Compassionately to Challenges to Biblical View of Marriage and Human Sexuality</td>
<td>CTCR</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-04</td>
<td>To Affirm the right of our Clergy to Continue Conducting Weddings in accordance with our Confession</td>
<td>CTCR</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-06</td>
<td>To Encourage Outreach to Muslim Neighbors</td>
<td>ONM</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-04</td>
<td>To Increase the Engagement of Lutheran Young Adults in the Ministry and Service Work of the LCMS</td>
<td>ONM</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-05</td>
<td>To Increase Our Efforts to Retain College-Age Youth</td>
<td>ONM</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-02</td>
<td>To Task Board for National Mission to Assess Worker Wellness and Make Recommendations for Worker Care</td>
<td>ONM</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Omnibus Resolutions

RESOLUTION A
(Revised)

WHEREAS, Many overtures request action that is the responsibility of various boards, commissions, or individuals to study and to implement; therefore be it

Resolved, That the following overtures be referred to the designated board, commission, or individual:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Board, Commission, Individual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ov. 2-13</td>
<td>To Require cause for Withdrawal or Release of Career Missionaries</td>
<td>Office of International Missions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ov. 2-15</td>
<td>To Change Funding Model of Our Missionaries</td>
<td>Board for International Missions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ov. 3-01</td>
<td>To Help Our Mercy Agencies Be Free to Be Faithful</td>
<td>Concordia University System and Board of Directors in consultation with President of the Synod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ov. 3-08</td>
<td>To Request Thrivent Financial for Lutherans to Open Up Choice Dollars for Lutherans for Life</td>
<td>Board for National Mission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ov. 3-09</td>
<td>To Add a Synod Prison and Jail Ministry Coordinator</td>
<td>Office of National Mission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ov. 4-17</td>
<td>To Again Publish in Luther’s Small Catechism the Fourth Commandment’s Divine Promise</td>
<td>Commission on Theology and Church Relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ov. 4-20</td>
<td>To Translate and Publish Book of Concord of 1580 Into French Language</td>
<td>Office of International Mission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ov. 4-21</td>
<td>To Develop Social Media Agreement for Professional Church Workers</td>
<td>Council of Presidents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ov. 4-25</td>
<td>To Investigate Organization Named FiveTwo in Light of Constitution Article II</td>
<td>Commission on Theology and Church Relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ov. 4-26</td>
<td>To Require Super-Majority Votes to Adopt Convention Resolutions</td>
<td>Commission on Handbook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ov. 4-28</td>
<td>To Establish Task Force for Social Media Policy Development</td>
<td>Council of Presidents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ov. 5-06</td>
<td>Seminaries to Evaluate Fellowship with AALC</td>
<td>Office of the President, Commission on Theology and Church Relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ov. 5-07</td>
<td>To Warn Against Occult Pseudoscience Practices</td>
<td>Commission on Theology and Church Relations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Ov. 5-08 Provide Suggested Guidelines/Policies for Implementing Social Media
   Commission on Theology and Church Relations

2. Ov. 5-21 To Encourage Synod to Complete Work on Transforming Churches Network, Joint Prayer With Those Who Deny Christ and Role of Women in Church
   Office of National Mission in consultation with Commission on Theology and Church Relations

3. Ov. 5-22 To Direct CTCR and Seminaries to Evaluate Transforming Churches Network
   Office of National Mission in consultation with Commission on Theology and Church Relations

4. Ov. 5-23 To Suspend Application of “Reduction in Force” to Pastoral Office Pending CTCR Decision
   Office of the President

5. Ov. 5-24 To Change Intentional Interim Ministry Program to Reflect Historic LCMS Theology and Practice of the Call
   Office of National Mission in consultation with Commission on Theology and Church Relations

6. Ov. 5-25 To Review Role of Women in Congregation and Synod Offices
   Commission on Theology and Church Relations

7. Ov. 6-01 To Reaffirm in Practice Biblical Qualifications for Office of the Holy Ministry
   Council of Presidents

8. Ov. 6-02 To No Longer Allow or Compel Vicars to Appear to Rightly Administer the Sacrament
   Council of Presidents

9. Ov. 6-03 To Require Use of Synod Hymnals at Synod Seminaries and Universities
   Faculties of Both Seminaries

10. Ov. 6-04 To Fraternally Admonish Seminaries to Give Due Weight to Lodge Membership Issue
    Faculties of Both Seminaries

11. Ov. 7-18 To Divest Concordia College Alabama from Concordia University System
    LCMS Board of Directors

12. Ov. 7-19 To Encourage Concordia University System Institutions to Request Religious Exemptions
    CUS Board of Directors

13. Ov. 7-22 To Instruct CUS Institutions to Teach Synod’s Position on Church Ministry
    CUS Board of Directors

14. Ov. 9-03 To Urge Synod to Increase Seminary Financial Assistance
    LCMS Board of Directors

15. Ov. 11-01 To Review LCMS President’s Authority
    Praesidium

16. Ov. 11-02 To Review Powers of Synod President, Secretary, CCM, and District Presidents
    Praesidium
| Ov. 11-06 | To Appoint Committee to Study District Restructure | Praesidium |
| Ov. 11-12 | To eliminate distinctions between visitation and electoral circuits | COP & President |
| Ov. 11-24 | To Change Formation of Electoral Circuits | COP & President |
| Ov. 11-38 | To make Uniform the Process for Restructuring Circuits for Synod Convention Delegate Representation | COP & President |
| Ov. 11-39 | To Make Uniform the Process for Restructuring Circuits for Synod Convention Delegate Determination | COP & President |
| Ov. 11-25 | To Establish Board for International Mission Representation at Synod Conventions | LCMS Board of Directors |
| Ov. 11-28 | To Establish Board for National Mission Representation at Synod Conventions | LCMS Board of Directors |
| Ov. 11-30 | To Declare CCM Opinion 13-2696 re: Doctrinal Resolutions Null and Void | LCMS President |
| Ov. 11-31 | To Complete Study of Constitution Article VII | LCMS President |
| Ov. 11-32 | To Overrule CCM Opinion 13-2694 | LCMS President |
| Ov. 11-33 | To Affirm Article II as Only Required Confession | LCMS President |
| Ov. 11-34 | To Honor and Reaffirm Advisory Nature of Synod | LCMS President |
| Ov. 11-35 | To Affirm Advisory Nature of Synod | LCMS President |
| Ov. 11-36 | To Have Equal Lay and Ordained Representation on Convention Floor Committees | Praesidium |
| Ov. 11-36 | To Have Equal Lay and Ordained Representation on Convention Floor Committees | LCMS Board of Directors |
| Ov. 11-37 | To Discuss Advisory Enabling Advisory Delegates to Become Voting Delegates at Future Conventions | LCMS President Commission on Constitutional Matters |
| Ov. 11-41 | To Affirm Past Policy re: Display of Literature at Synod Conventions | Convention Manager |
| Ov. 11-49 | To Amend Bylaws to Affirm That Conscience is Bound by the Word of God | Commission on Theology and Church Relations |
| Ov. 12-02 | To Return to Pastoral-Based Model of Governance | Council of Presidents |
| Ov. 12-05 | To Specify Inactive Members in Official Publications | Rosters & Statistics |
To Clarify Proper Use of Social Media and Internet Postings by Church Leadership During Expulsion Process

Council of Presidents

To Clarify Ecclesiastical Supervision of Roster Workers Serving Outside Home District

Council of Presidents

To Address Unbiblical Removal of Pastors from Office

Council of Presidents

To Improve Transparency of Council of Presidents Procedures and Meetings (Pastors Conference, Northern Illinois District)

Council of Presidents

To Call to Faithful Confession

Council of Presidents

To Establish Procedure for Removal of Officers of the Synod or District from Office

Legal Counsel

Legal Defense Fund

LCMS Board of Directors

Change LSB Rite

Office of National Mission

To Encourage Development of Retirement Assistance Program for Parish Pastors

Districts

To Encourage Congregational Education

Office of National Mission

Voting delegate Rex Watt reacts during an open hearing of Floor Committee #13 "Routes to Ministry" at the 66th Regular Convention of The Lutheran Church–Missouri Synod on Saturday, July 9, 2016, in Milwaukee.
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REVISED RESOLUTIONS

2. International Witness

To Maintain Proper Balance in Foreign Missions Between Congregations’ Right of Self-Governance, the Role of Districts, and Our Commitment to Walk Together

RESOLUTION 2-06A

Reports R56–57 (CW, pp. 133–137, 147–148); Overtures 2-01–12, 2-14 (CW, pp. 315–324); President’s Report, Part 2 (TB, p. 21–31)

WHEREAS, God’s Word speaks to the responsibility of all Christians to make disciples of all nations (Matt. 28:18–20) and is replete with examples of individuals and congregations directly caring for the needs of missionaries and foreign mission fields (Rom. 15:25–29; 1 Cor. 16:1–14; 2 Cor. 8:1–9:15; Phil. 2:19–30; 4:10–20; etc.); and the Commission on Constitutional Matters (CCM) rendered opinions in 14-2724 and 15-2771 that affirmed and recognized the right of congregations to participate in mission work around the world; and

WHEREAS, As a condition of membership in the Synod, congregations and rostered church workers have voluntarily agreed not to participate in “heterodox tract and missionary activities” (Constitution Art. VI 2 c); and

WHEREAS, Article VII of The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod (LCMS) Constitution states, “In its relation to its members, the Synod is not an ecclesiastical government exercising legislative or coercive powers, and with respect to the individual congregation’s right of self-government it is but an advisory body”; and

WHEREAS, Article XIV of the Constitution states that the Synod in convention may adopt bylaws that are consistent with and do not contradict the Constitution of the Synod, which controls and supersedes such bylaws and all other rules and regulations of the Synod; and

WHEREAS, A purpose for forming the Synod is for congregations to join together in ministry (Bylaw 1.1.1):

1.1.1 Committed to a common confession and mission, congregations of The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod join with one another in the Synod to support one another and to work together in carrying out their commonly adopted objectives. The Synod is organized to work in support of and on behalf of congregations to assist them in carrying out their ministries as they seek to serve our Lord Jesus Christ, the members of His body, and the world which stands in need of the Word and the impact of His redeeming love.

(a) The Synod functions in support of its member congregations by providing assistance as congregations conduct their ministries locally, as well as their ministries at large.

(b) The Synod on behalf of its member congregations administers those ministries that can be accomplished more effectively in association with other member congregations through the Synod. In this way member congregations utilize the Synod to assist them in carrying out their functions of worship, witness, teaching and nurture, service, and support.

And

WHEREAS, The Board for International Mission (BIM) and the President of the Synod have encouraged congregations and districts to be engaged both locally and internationally; and

WHEREAS, Congregations, occasionally districts, and other entities have with the best intentions engaged in unilateral work overseas which has caused offense, confusion, and consternation among partner churches (e.g., supporting Evangelical Lutheran Church of America (ELCA) partners, charismatic, or other non-Lutheran churches and groups), exacerbated or created division on the field, necessitated hours of remediation by LCMS mission personnel, left projects costing hundreds of thousands of dollars incomplete—all with little or no communication with LCMS missionaries responsible for various regions and partner church relationships; and
WHEREAS, Districts are the extension of Synod, and the Synod may limit its own activity for the sake of good order; and

WHEREAS, 2010 Res. 8-32B encourages the Synod to study Article VII of the Constitution, including study of “Congregations and Synod, Background Material on the Advisory Nature of the LCMS” along with opinions of the Commission on Constitutional Matters (CCM) related to this topic; and

WHEREAS, CCM Opinion 09-2573 states in part that “there is a common understanding that a congregation exercises its self-government…in carrying out…its own ministry programs and financial affairs…”; and

WHEREAS, Bylaw 3.8.3 states in part, “Upon the recommendation of the Office of International Mission, the board shall serve as the only sending agency through which workers and funds are sent to the foreign mission areas of the Synod, including the calling, appointing, assigning, withdrawing, and releasing of missionaries (ministers of religion—ordained and ministers of religion—commissioned) and other workers for the ministries in foreign areas”; and

WHEREAS, CCM Opinion 14-2724 states in part, “Congregations may not send funds to mission societies and non-Synod entities for work in foreign areas without taking into consideration policies developed and determined for this purpose by the Board for International Mission as the only sending agency”; and

WHEREAS, The world is now a place that has become much smaller due to things like airplane travel, the internet, cell phone communication, and social media outlets like Facebook, Twitter; and

WHEREAS, It is possible to find bylaw language which is more applicable to the circumstances today, while ensuring harmony and Synod unity in international work; and

WHEREAS, It is desirable to maintain the right of local congregations to engage in mission activity while at the same time working to ensure that the international mission work of the Synod goes on in good order and without conflict; therefore be it

Resolved, That the Synod in convention request that the President of the Synod form a “Bylaw 3.8.3 Task Force” to study and review the proper balance in foreign missions between congregations’ right of self-governance and commitment to walk together with the Board for International Mission (BIM) and Office of International Mission (OIM) to ensure that the international mission work of the Synod goes on in good order and without conflict, and that a report be prepared for the next regular Synod convention; and be presented to the Synod by September 15, 2018; and be it further

Resolved, That this “Bylaw 3.8.3 Task Force” may include, but is not limited to, representation from pastors and laity from congregations, district presidents, BIM members, OIM staff, and the Commission on Handbook; and be it further

Resolved, That the Synod in convention recognize and accept the current practice and understanding of Bylaw 3.8.3 as clarified by CCM Opinions 14-2724 and 15-2771 as correct interpretations of Bylaw 3.8.3 during the time that the task force reviews Bylaws 1.1.1 and 3.8.3; and be it further

Resolved, That for the sake of “walking together,” congregations be encouraged to communicate and coordinate with the OIM, and districts of the Synod with the President of the Synod as the chief ecumenical officer (Bylaw 3.3.1.1.2) as they contemplate engaging in mission activities in any part of the world, including but not limited to those areas officially identified and listed as the Synod’s “foreign mission area[s]” according to Bylaw 3.1.4.3; and be it further

Resolved, That thanks be given for the great mission zeal of congregations and districts, both in their support of the Synod’s official mission agencies but also where entrepreneurial activities have been blessed by the Lord and have brought great blessing to the Synod; and be it finally
Resolved, That the BIM, the OIM, congregations, and districts seek to mutually communicate, coordinate, and support each other’s mission efforts as we walk together as a Synod.

3. Mercy

To Give Thanks to God and Encourage Support for the Ministries of Lutheran Immigration and Refugee Service, Lutheran Services in America, and Lutheran World Relief

RESOLUTION 3-05A

WHEREAS, Lutheran Immigration and Refugee Service (LIRS), an inter-Lutheran organization based in Baltimore, MD, partners with Lutheran congregations across the country working to protect, embrace, and empower migrants and refugees through the mercy work of resettlement; and

WHEREAS, Lutheran Services in America (LSA), an inter-Lutheran organization based in Washington, D.C., creates opportunities to bring hope and healing to people in thousands of communities throughout the United States and the Caribbean through a network of 300 ministries of health care, senior services, disability support, community development, housing, and child and family strengthening; and is celebrating its 20th anniversary in 2017 of bringing together social ministries to answer actively God’s call to love and serve our neighbor and provide works of mercy that transform the lives of people and communities; and

WHEREAS, Lutheran World Relief (LWR), an inter-Lutheran organization based in Baltimore, MD, provides focused response to emergencies, relieves suffering and poverty by working among the poorest in rural and remote regions of over 35 countries, promotes mercy work around the world, and is working with our Synod to strengthen the capacity of LCMS international mission operations and LCMS partner churches in their mercy work; and

WHEREAS, The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod (LCMS) has a long history with these entities in mercy work, in what has historically been described as “cooperation in externals”; and

WHEREAS, Through the work of LIRS, LSA, and LWR, the Lord extends His mercy to those in need; and

WHEREAS, LIRS, LSA, and LWR extend their work through material and financial support of individuals and congregations; and

WHEREAS, The faithful prayers of the saints greatly strengthen and encourage their work; and

WHEREAS, The individual believer and congregations must be guided by Christian conscience in their work with, and support and promotion of faith-based organizations outside of the LCMS, as in all things we remember that we work under the grace of God; therefore be it

Resolved, That the Synod in convention offer thanks and praise to God for His works of mercy extended through these organizations; and be it further

Resolved, That the Synod commend LIRS, LSA, and LWR in their stewardship of the resources entrusted to them in responding to the various service needs and opportunities in the world; and be it finally

Resolved, That the Synod encourage congregations and individuals, guided by their Christian consciences, to consider support of and involvement in the mercy work of LIRS, LSA, and LWR.
8. Parochial Schools

To Encourage and Strengthen the Lutheran Ethos of Our LCMS Early Childhood Centers, Elementary Schools, and High Schools

RESOLUTION 8-01A

President’s Report – Part II (TB, pp. 21–31)

WHEREAS, The Holy Scriptures and the Small Catechism are foundational for our faith and life in Christ; and

WHEREAS, Luther was concerned that “[a]ll the people are supposed to be Christians, have been baptized, and receive the Holy Sacrament even though they do not know the Lord’s Prayer, the Creed, or the Ten Commandments and live like poor animals of the barnyard and pigpen. What these people have mastered, however, is the fine art of tearing all Christian liberty to shreds” (Small Catechism – Preface); and

WHEREAS, The prefaces to the Small and Large Catechisms enjoin both the teaching and understanding of the Ten Commandments, the Creed, and the Lord’s Prayer, as foundational for the Lutheran ethos in the Christian congregation, home, and school; and

WHEREAS, The Word of God and the Catechism teach us how to receive God’s gifts in the Divine Service, how to pray, how to confess, how to forgive, and how to live where God has called us, with faith in Christ and love to the neighbor; and

WHEREAS, The congregations and schools of The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod (LCMS) are bound to the Holy Scriptures and the Lutheran Confessions for the teaching of the faith and the practice of that faith; and

WHEREAS, The purpose of the liturgy and the hymnody of the Church is to teach us what we need to know about Christ (AC XXIV); and

WHEREAS, Our Synod has always placed a high value on doctrinally sound hymnals and agendas for teaching the faith (Constitution Article VI); and

WHEREAS, Lutheran Service Book is a blessing to our Synod and has been joyfully received in many Lutheran schools as the basis of their worship and catechesis; and

WHEREAS, The Bible, the Catechism, and the hymnal are central to the Lutheran ethos and are God’s gifts to us that we might lovingly and clearly confess the Christian faith to children and families of our schools, believers and unbelievers alike; and

WHEREAS, The increasing presence of non-Lutherans in our schools presents an opportunity to evangelize them, calling them to repentance and faith in Christ, so that they may be buried with Christ by baptism into death in order that they, too, might walk in newness of life (Rom. 6:4); and

WHEREAS, For the mission of the Church, it is imperative that we confess the Christian faith before the world in all aspects of our life, especially to those whom God has placed in our charge; and

WHEREAS, Trained Lutheran teachers in our LCMS schools daily integrate theology in their teaching, model the Christian life, rightly apply Law and Gospel for the admonition and comfort of their students, and ultimately help them attain the fullness of maturity in Christ (Eph. 4:13); and

WHEREAS, The Lutheran ethos of our schools would greatly benefit from their dedicated non-Lutheran teachers receiving further catechesis in the Lutheran faith; and

WHEREAS, The National Lutheran School Accreditation (NLSA) process recognizes the importance of the Lutheran ethos in our schools; therefore be it
Resolved, That all pastors, administrators, teachers, and parents in the schools of the LCMS continue to build a Lutheran ethos in their schools characterized by the following:

1. Daily use of the Scriptures, prayer, Luther’s Small Catechism, and the hymnal in the instructional and devotional life of their students at school and at home;
2. A consistently faithful and winsome witness to God’s judgment on sin and His grace in Jesus Christ to all students and family members;
3. A life together rooted in the forgiveness of sins and Christ-like love;
4. Regular memorization of Holy Scripture and Luther’s Small Catechism;
5. LCMS-trained Lutheran teachers who know and are committed to the truths of the Scriptures as confessed in our Lutheran Confessions;
6. A pastor who eagerly serves the school as its spiritual leader, faithfully ministering with faculty, students, and their families;
7. A joyful affirmation and use of the historic, liturgical orders of the church in the worship life of students at school and at home;
8. A lively integration of our Scriptural and confessional worldview into all the arts, sciences, and academic disciplines, recognizing these disciplines as gifts of God to be informed by the Word of God (Col. 3:16-17);
9. Students growing into the full stature of Christ (Eph. 4:13-15) as His mature disciples, fulfilling their vocations in service to Him and to their neighbors;
10. Resources offering guidance and support for parents as they teach the faith at home;
11. Training of students to confess and model their Christian faith with family, friends, and neighbors who do not know Christ;

and be it further

Resolved, That the Synod in convention encourage pastors, administrators, teachers, and parents to work together in establishing this Lutheran ethos in their churches and schools; and be it further

Resolved, That the Synod in convention encourage congregational members of all churches in the LCMS to receive, care for, and pray continually for their pastors and teachers as blessings from God; and be it further

Resolved, That the Synod in convention give thanks to those, past and present, who have faithfully served in our LCMS schools; and be it further

Resolved, That the pastors, administrators, teachers, students, and families in our LCMS schools regularly rejoice in and give thanks for their partnership in the Gospel; and be it further

Resolved, That the Office of National Mission (ONM) provide guidance to congregations and schools in implementing the above resolutions; and be it further

Resolved, That Lutheran schools be encouraged to pursue and maintain NLSA to assist in assessing not only quality of education but also Lutheran ethos; and be it further

Resolved, That the district presidents and their representatives affirm, encourage, assist, and support congregations, pastors, and teachers in maintaining the Lutheran ethos of their schools; and be it finally

Resolved, That the district presidents and the ONM report to the Synod in the next convention what they have done to strengthen the Lutheran ethos of our schools, along with their evaluations and recommendations for further strengthening the Lutheran ethos of our schools.
To Support the Quality and Sustainability of Lutheran Schools through Work of Blue Ribbon Committee on Lutheran Schools

RESOLUTION 8-03A

Report R1.2 (CW, pp. 12–16); Overtures 8-02-03 (CW, pp. 377-378); Res. 3-04 (2016 Proceedings, p. 117)

WHEREAS, Lutheran schools provide a strong witness to their communities by proclaiming the pure Gospel of our Lord Jesus Christ as taught in the Scriptures in addition to rigorous academics, competitive athletics, and a passion for the arts; and

WHEREAS, In Lutheran schools, families outside the church are often drawn to life together with the Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod (LCMS) families, thus facilitating a Gospel witness; and

WHEREAS, LCMS schools (early childhood, elementary, and high schools) are the second-largest parochial educational system in the United States, operating 1,173 early childhood centers and preschools, 804 elementary schools, 91 domestic and three international high schools (Hong Kong, Shanghai, and Hanoi) serving students and their families; and

WHEREAS, LCMS congregations have closed 458 schools since 2005, and enrollment has dropped by 99,113 students during the same period (290,453 in 2005 to 191,340 in 2015); and

WHEREAS, 61 percent of LCMS schools serve 100 or fewer children; and

WHEREAS, The 2013 LCMS national convention established a Blue Ribbon Committee with the following purpose: “to assist our congregations to embrace Lutheran schools as a critical ministry in congregational life and to support our schools as they serve in the 21st century” (Res. 3-04); and

WHEREAS, The Blue Ribbon Committee on Lutheran Schools will continue its work in the 2016-2019 triennium; therefore be it

Resolved, That the Synod in convention direct the Office of National Mission to fund the continued work of the Blue Ribbon Committee on Lutheran Schools; and be it further

Resolved, That the work of the Blue Ribbon Committee on Lutheran Schools include but not be limited to the following:

1. Identify the reasons for the decline in the number of schools and in total student enrollment;
2. Assess the current compensation of pastors and teachers in congregations with Lutheran schools and its effect on Lutheran schools and workers;
3. Suggest pilot projects that may produce creative solutions related to the challenges currently faced by our Lutheran schools;
4. Explore and communicate creative options for enhancing the quality of our schools, such as classical education, online education, flexible scheduling, hybrid homeschooling, consolidated efforts among congregations with schools, and ministry among international students;
5. Identify alternative models for funding Lutheran schools with an emphasis on making schools affordable for all families;
6. Recommend strategies for our Lutheran schools to serve their immigrant neighbors;
7. Identify, celebrate, and share examples of Lutheran schools actively engaged in the mission of Christ in their respective communities;
8. Explore the role of Lutheran schools in planting new churches and revitalizing existing churches;
9. Address the significant need for a new generation of Lutheran educators;

and be it finally
Resolved, That the Blue Ribbon Committee complete its work by mid-2018 so that it can report to the LCMS national convention in 2019.

11. Structure and Administration

To Change Bylaws re District Convention Attendance as Prerequisite for Voting for Synod President

RESOLUTION 11-03A

Overtures 11-05, 11-17, 11-21, 11-40 (CW, pp. 384, 391–392, 401)

WHEREAS, The Synod’s Constitution establishes the principle that each congregation or multi-congregation parish has two votes at district conventions; and

WHEREAS, The purpose of the current process of electing the Synod President is to give all the congregations a voice in the election of the President; therefore be it

Resolved, That the following changes to the following bylaws be adopted:

PRESENT/PROPOSED WORDING

3.12.2.3 The Secretary of the Synod, using lists of delegates in attendance at the prior year’s district conventions as submitted by the secretaries of the districts, shall compile and maintain the voters list for the election of the President of the Synod in coordination with the secretaries of the districts. This list and any of its parts shall not be disseminated.

(a) This voters list shall include:

(1) the pastor of each member congregation or multi-congregation parish

(2) a lay person from the congregation or parish two voting delegates from each congregation in attendance at the previous district conventions who remains members of the congregations they represented.

(b) The congregation shall present to the Secretary of Synod 90 days prior to the election proper credentials by the Secretary and signed by two of the congregation’s officers. If a congregation or parish has more than one pastor eligible to vote, the congregation shall designate on the credentials which pastor will cast a vote on behalf of the congregation. If one or both delegates are unavailable, congregations shall be provided opportunity to select substitute voters.

(b)(c) If one or both delegates are unavailable, congregations shall be provided opportunity to select substitute voters. If one or both delegates are unavailable, congregations shall be provided opportunity to select substitute voters up until the time designated by the Secretary.

3.12.2.4 Four weeks prior to the national convention, the Secretary of the Synod shall provide, via a secure and verifiable method, opportunity for two voting delegates from each congregation, as determined according to Bylaw 3.12.2.3, in attendance at the previous district conventions (or substitute voters selected according to Bylaw 3.12.2.3(b)) to vote for one of the candidates for President. The Secretary shall, with the approval of the Board of Directors of the Synod, obtain the assistance necessary to accomplish this task. If no candidate receives a majority of the votes cast, the two candidates receiving the highest number of votes shall be retained on the ballot, and another vote shall be taken in the same manner.
To Change Bylaws re Certification and Verification of District Convention Delegates

RESOLUTION 11-04A

Overtures 11-04 (CW, p. 384)

WHEREAS, The process of registering and accrediting delegates for a district convention is cumbersome and confusing; and

WHEREAS, A major source of the confusion is that the current process requires a double registration and accreditation for every delegate. A registration several weeks prior to the convention, all properly certified and submitted to the district office, is required so that the district president can select delegates to assign to floor committees, print their names in the convention workbook, prepare registration material, and various other matters. Then, current Bylaw 4.2.2 (a) requires another set of identical credentials to be submitted to the district secretary at the opening of the convention; and

WHEREAS, Technically, according to the way the bylaw now reads, an individual who does not present the proper form at the time of registration at the convention cannot be accredited, even though forms were previously submitted to the district office; therefore be it

Resolved, That the following amendment to Bylaw 4.2.2 (a) be adopted.

PRESENT/PROPOSED WORDING

4.2.2 The delegates of a voting congregation to a district convention shall be accredited.
   (a) They shall be entitled to vote upon presenting to the secretary at the opening of the convention the proper credentials provided by the district secretary and signed by two of the congregation’s officers. To be entitled to vote, delegates shall return the proper credentials signed by two of the congregation’s officers, either by mailing them to the district office at a date determined by the district or by presenting them to the district secretary at the opening of the convention. These credentials shall be signed by two of the congregation’s officers. These credential forms will be provided by the district secretary.

To Amend Constitution to Grant Lay Vote/Voice to Every Congregation

RESOLUTION 11-07A

Overtures 11-44–45 (CW, pp. 402–403)

Preamble

When Jesus directs those attempting to admonish an erring brother, He states that the final attempt of such admonition is to take the matter before the church (Matt. 18:17). To that assembly Jesus predicates the authority to exercise the Office of the Keys saying, “And if he refuses to listen even to the church, let him be to you as a Gentile and a tax collector. Truly, I say to you, whatever you bind on earth shall be bound in heaven, and whatever you loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven” (Matt. 18:17b–18).

This God-given authority is not limited by the size of a congregation; for Jesus goes on to add a clear promise to be with even the smallest assembly or congregation: “Again I say to you, if two of you agree on earth about anything they ask, it will be done for them by My Father in heaven. For where two or three are gathered in My name, there am I among them” (Matt. 18:19-20).

The Lutheran Church in general and The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod (LCMS) in particular have always held
the autonomy of the congregation in high esteem. The Confessions of the Lutheran church testify to the authority
given by Christ to the Church as recorded in the Scriptures. Particularly, the above mentioned verses of Matt.18 are
cited in the Treatise on the Power and Primacy of the Pope defending the Church’s right of calling ministers (Tr. 24,
Concordia: The Lutheran Confessions). Later it is made clear that this authority comes down to each congregation:
“Therefore when the regular bishops become unwilling to administer ordination, the churches retain their own right
to ordain ministers. Where the Church is, there is the authority to administer the Gospel” (Tr. 66–67).

Historically The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod has maintained a polity that multiple congregations served by
one pastor should only have one lay vote, thus maintaining a balance between clergy and laity at district
conventions.

By disenfranchising certain congregations who hold membership in the Synod, we have acted inconsistently on a
number of levels.

- Though we confess no divinely appointed form of church polity, denying some congregations the right to
  the same representation that other congregations have in matters of the Synod is at best at a disconnect with
  our theological understanding of a congregation’s embodiment of the catholicity of the Church.
- In some matters of the Synod that are dealt with on a congregational level, every congregation is allowed to
  speak for herself. However, in other matters, multiple congregations served jointly by one or more pastors
  are required to come together and speak with one voice, thereby reducing the value of each congregation’s
  voice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Independent Voice</th>
<th>Shared Voice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Representation at District Conventions</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Election of circuit delegates to Synod Convention</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote on Amendments to Synod Convention</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominate Synod Officers including Synod President</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting for Synod President</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing necessary number of congregations for electoral circuits</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WHEREAS, The Synod Constitution Art. V A deals with voting members of the Synod and states: “All organized
congregations that have joined the Synod hold voting membership. At the meetings of the districts of the Synod
every congregation or parish is entitled to two votes, one of which is to be cast by the pastor and the other by the lay
delegate”; and

WHEREAS, “Multiple congregations” are only allowed to send a single lay delegate to district conventions,
which does not respect the equal dignity, gifts, and authority of all member congregations. Rather, it creates degrees
of voting membership in the Synod because every congregation is allowed a vote/voice in some places but not in
others (see chart in Preamble); and

WHEREAS, The emphasis of our polity on the representation of congregations is intrinsically connected to the
scriptural and confessed understanding of a congregation’s bearing all the characteristics of the Church; and

WHEREAS, Every individual congregation is a member of the Synod; and

WHEREAS, Few if any district conventions have had an equal number of lay and clergy delegates present and
has never resulted in a domination of either lay or clergy; and
WHEREAS, All congregations are created equal. “Where two are three gathered in my name there am I among them” (Matthew 18:20); therefore be it

Resolved, That the Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod at its 2016 convention:

- give all organized congregations that hold membership in the Synod the opportunity to be represented by a lay delegate and a pastoral delegate at district conventions (Bylaw 3.1.2.1 [c] allows such representation at circuit forums and regional caucuses);
- retain a single vote for a pastor who represents more than one congregation; and
- amend Article V A of the Synod Constitution as follows:

PRESENT/PROPOSED WORDING

Article V Membership

A. Voting Members

All organized congregations that have joined the Synod hold voting membership. At the meetings of the districts of the Synod every congregation or parish is entitled to two votes, one of which is to be cast by the pastor and the other by the lay delegate. In the case of a single pastor representing two or more congregations, no individual shall cast more than one vote. At the meetings of the Synod a number of congregations shall form a group which shall be represented by two voting delegates, one a pastor and one a lay delegate.

Resolution Regarding District Administration and Electoral Circuit Requirements

RESOLUTION 11-08A

Overture: 11-51 (CW, p. 405)

A. To Strengthen Bylaw Requirements for Submission of Statistical Information

Rationale

With the active cooperation of the Council of Presidents, progress has been made by the Office of the Secretary and the Department of Rosters, Statistics, and Research toward obtaining 100 percent response in congregations’ submission of annual statistical information. While this information has always been valuable, increased abilities to use such information for the general good of the Synod and its agencies has increased interest in a unanimous response.

The Commission on Handbook has approved the following bylaw changes proposed by the Secretary of the Synod for submission to the 2016 The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod (LCMS) convention.

Proposed Action

Therefore be it

Resolved, That Bylaws 1.3.4–1.3.4.1; 3.3.3.2; 4.4.7–4.4.8; and 5.2.3 be amended to strengthen requirements for congregations’ submission of annual statistical information, as follows:

PRESENT/PROPOSED WORDING
1.3.4 Congregations together establish the requirements of membership in the Synod (Constitution Art. VI). In joining the Synod, congregations and other members obligate themselves to fulfill such requirements and to diligently and earnestly promote the purposes of the Synod by word and deed. Members of the Synod, compelled by love for each other, accept the responsibility to support financially the work of the Synod and provide annual statistical information to enable the Synod to plan current and future ministry efforts based upon an accurate picture of the results of current ministries within its churches, communities, and world.

1.3.4.1 Members agree to uphold the confessional position of the Synod (Constitution Art. II) and to assist in carrying out the objectives of the Synod (Constitution Art. III), which are objectives of the members themselves. Thus, while congregations of the Synod are self-governing (Constitution Art. VII), they, and also individual members, commit themselves as members of the Synod to act in accordance with the Constitution and Bylaws of the Synod under which they have agreed to live and work together and which the congregations alone have the authority to adopt or amend through conventions.

1.3.4.2 Members of the Synod, compelled by love for one another, accept the responsibility to support financially the work of the Synod.

1.3.4.3 Congregations of the Synod, to enable the Synod to plan current and future ministry efforts and to lend accuracy and integrity to the Synod’s delegate representation and voting processes, agree to provide annual membership and statistical information to the Synod.

3.3.3.2 The Secretary shall perform such other work as pertains to his office or such other work as the Synod in convention, the President, or the Board of Directors of the Synod may assign to him.

(a) He shall serve as a voting member and secretary of the Commission on Constitutional Matters.
(b) He shall administer the Synod’s dispute resolution process.
(c) He shall serve as a voting member of the Board of Governors of Concordia Historical Institute.
(d) He shall supervise the maintenance of the official roster of member congregations and ordained and commissioned ministers on the basis of information supplied by the district presidents.
(e) He shall supervise the process for obtaining annual statistical information from all member congregations of the Synod.
(f) He shall serve as editor of The Lutheran Annual.
(g) He shall keep a file of all governing instruments of all agencies of the Synod.

4.4.7 The district president shall be responsible for maintaining the official rosters of his district.

(a) He shall add the names of those ordained or commissioned ministers initially placed in the district and those accepting a call to or otherwise transferring to the district.
(b) He shall remove the names of those who have died or have resigned their membership or have had their membership in the Synod duly terminated.
(c) An ordained or commissioned minister accepting a call to a congregation in a sister district or to an institution which relates to such district shall immediately report such decision to his district president and ask for a transfer of membership. The district president shall forward such transfer to the president of the sister district.
(d) Upon receipt of the transfer and of a request for installation from the minister of religion, the district president of the sister district shall install or authorize installation of such minister.
(e) He shall regularly forward roster reports to the Secretary of the Synod for publication in The Lutheran Annual.

4.4.8 The district president shall revise annually the official rosters of ordained ministers and of commissioned ministers for publication in The Lutheran Annual, with the assistance of his district’s circuit visitors, promote and pursue unanimous participation by congregations in the submission of annual statistical reports as an expectation of membership in the Synod.

5.2.3 Each circuit visitor shall assist the district president within the circuit.

(a) He shall serve under the direction of and be accountable to the district president and shall serve as his spokesman when so authorized and directed and shall assist him in doctrinal and spiritual supervision.
(b) He shall serve in a servant role.
(c) He shall seek to remind and encourage members of the circuit of their responsibilities as God’s people and the privilege they have in being about His mission.
(d) He and any other officers of the circuit shall have the primary responsibility for maintaining liaison between the circuit and the Synod at the national and district levels.
(e) He shall be conversant with and supportive of Synod-wide and district resolutions and programs.
(f) He shall seek to strengthen the spirit of cooperation among pastors, commissioned ministers, and congregations.
(g) He shall assist in the development and attainment of Synod-wide mission and ministry emphases.
(h) He shall assist the district president, as requested, in promoting and obtaining unanimous participation by congregations in the submission of annual statistical reports.
(i) He may, when requested to do so by the district president, serve as a mediator to effect reconciliation of disputes within the circuit not under dispute resolution of the Synod as outlined in section 1.10 of these Bylaws.
(j) He shall regularly convene the pastors of his circuit for circuit conferences.
(k) He shall regularly report on his activities to the district president.
(l) The district president shall meet with the circuit visitors of the district at least once per year to discuss their work, to encourage them, and to conduct ongoing training for congregational and pastoral visits.
(m) The circuit visitor is authorized to draw on the district treasury for his expenses.

B. Submission of Circuit Visitor Nominee Names

Preamble

A bylaw provision requiring the submission of names of circuit visitor nominees prior to the day of the circuit forum to elect the circuit visitor was inadvertently omitted when the current process was adopted by the 2010 LCMS convention. Such a provision does currently exist in the circuit forum election process for national convention voting delegates.

The Commission on Handbook proposes restoring this day-prior requirement for submission of names of circuit visitor nominees, thereby mirroring the language of Bylaw 3.1.2.1 (e) by amending Bylaw paragraphs 5.2.2 (b) and (d).

Proposed Action

Therefore be it

Resolved, That Bylaw 5.2.2 paragraphs (b) and (d) be amended to restore the day-prior requirement for submission of names of circuit visitor nominees, as follows:

PRESENT/PROPOSED WORDING

5.2.2 The circuit visitor shall hold his position by virtue of his selection by the circuit forum and ratification by the district convention.
(a) Circuit forums shall meet at the call of their circuit visitors to select their circuit visitors no later than the time established by the district. When in-person meetings are burdensome (e.g., geographically large circuits), a circuit may select another manner of meeting (e.g., e-meeting technologies) that is suitable and made available to all participants, taking into consideration the need to provide for an open and fair exchange of ideas and secure, private, and confidential voting.
(b) Prior to the day of the circuit forum, nominations for candidates for the office of circuit visitor may be submitted by a voting congregation of the circuit and suggested by the district president, in consultation with the praesidium of the district.
(c) Each circuit may adopt procedures and methods that will insure efficiency and accuracy, including
the use of mechanical, electronic, or other methods of casting, recording, or tabulating votes. The
privilege of voting shall be exercised by the representatives from each member congregation of the
circuit, who shall have been selected in the manner prescribed by the congregation (Bylaw 5.3.2).
(d) All nominated pastors serving congregations and emeriti pastors, whose names were nominated prior
to the day of the circuit forum, shall be eligible for election in accordance with section 4.3 of these
Bylaws.

C. To Clarify Bylaws re Circuit Forums and
Electoral Circuit Forums

Rationale

Current Bylaw section 5.3, which defines and details the responsibilities of visitation circuit forums, includes
information regarding electoral circuit meetings (as anticipated by Bylaw 3.1.2). Removing those references from
Bylaw section 5.3 and inserting them into Bylaw 3.1.2 will provide clarity for distinguishing between visitation
circuits and electoral circuits. The Commission on Handbook therefore proposes the following bylaw changes.

Proposed Action

Therefore be it

Resolved, That new wording be introduced into Bylaw 3.1.2 that retains and relocates the content of current
Bylaw 5.3.3 regarding electoral circuit meetings, as follows:

PRESENT/PROPOSED WORDING

Electoral Circuits and Voting Delegates

3.1.2 Voting delegates shall consist of one pastor and one layman from each electoral circuit. Electoral circuits
shall meet as required by the Bylaws of the Synod to elect circuit voting delegates to the Synod’s
national conventions.
(a) An electoral circuit shall consist either of one or two adjacent visitation circuits, as shall be
determined by the district board of directors on the basis of the following requirements: each pair of
delegates shall represent from 7 to 20 member congregations, involving an aggregate communicate
membership ranging from 1,500 to 10,000.
(b) Voting delegates shall consist of one pastor and one layperson from each electoral circuit. These
pastoral and lay delegates and their alternates shall be elected according to the regulations of the Synod
(Bylaw 3.1.2.1).
(be) Exceptions to these requirements may be made only by the President of the Synod upon request of a
district board of directors.
(d) The lay delegate shall serve throughout the triennium following the convention as an advisory
member of the circuit forum.

and be it further

Resolved, That Bylaw 5.3.3 be amended to read as follows:

PRESENT/PROPOSED WORDING

5.3.3 The circuit forum will meet at least once triennially to elect circuit delegates to the national
convention. It shall elect the pastoral and lay delegates and their alternates to the national convention of
the Synod according to the regulations of the Synod. The lay delegate shall, upon election, serve through
the triennium following the next convention as an advisory member of the circuit forum, circuit visitor
(Bylaw 5.2.2).
To Respectfully Decline Overtures

RESOLUTION 11-16A

Whereas, The floor committee has considered all overtures assigned to it and has concluded, for various reasons, that certain overtures should be declined; therefore be it

Resolved, That the following overtures be respectfully declined for the reasons given:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11-07</td>
<td>To Standardize and Clarify Procedures for Elections at Synod and District Conventions</td>
<td>Confusing, time consuming, not practical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-08</td>
<td>To Standardize and Clarify Procedures for Elections at Circuit Forums</td>
<td>Confusing, time consuming, not practical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-09</td>
<td>To Increase Number of Candidates for Present and Streamline Election Process</td>
<td>Confusing, time consuming, not practical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-10</td>
<td>To Strengthen Committee on Elections and Form District Committees on Elections</td>
<td>Confusing, time consuming, not practical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-11</td>
<td>To Allow Each Region to Elect Its Vice-President</td>
<td>To safeguard the integrity of the current process. Regional VP represent the entire Synod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-13</td>
<td>To Create Term Limits for Synod Elected-Officers</td>
<td>Term limits restrict the choice(s) for delegates 3.2.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15</td>
<td>To Alter Method of Electing Synod Regional Vice-Presidents</td>
<td>To safeguard the integrity of the current process. Regional VP represent the entire Synod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-16</td>
<td>To Allow Each LCMS Region to Elect Its own-Vice-Presidents</td>
<td>To safeguard the integrity of the current process. Regional VP represent the entire Synod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>To Seat Entire Committee for Convention Nominations at Convention</td>
<td>Not expedient nor necessary. There is current representation of the CCN at the convention 3.12.3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-22</td>
<td>To Change Election of Regional Vice-Presents</td>
<td>To safeguard the integrity of the current process. Regional VP represent the entire Synod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-23</td>
<td>To Change Nominations Process for Elected-District Officers</td>
<td>Districts are to follow Synod Bylaws 4.2.1 (a).Creates additional Unhelpful exceptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-30</td>
<td>To Declare CCM Opinion13-2696 re Doctrinal Resolutions Null and Void</td>
<td>Opinion consistent with Bylaws and Resolutions of Synod</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11-32 To Overrule CCM Opinion 13-2694  
Opinion consistent with Bylaws and Resolutions of Synod

11-55 To Amend Bylaw Process for Election of Synod-Regional Vice-Presidents  
To safeguard the integrity of the current process. Regional VP represent the entire Synod

11-57 To Require LCMS Foundation to Use Biblical-Dispute Resolution to Settle Disputes with Donors  
Outside the parameters of Bylaw 1.10.2. Has significant legal Implications.

12. Ecclesiastical Supervision and Dispute Resolution

To Restore Right of Accuser to Appeal  
When a District President Fails to Act or Declines to Suspend

RESOLUTION 12-01A


Rationale

Membership in the Synod is and always has been a privilege that is either granted or terminated by the Synod. In the earliest period of its history, the Synod retained the authority to make decisions regarding membership to itself, to be made by its conventions. Over time, the Synod adopted bylaw processes for making such decisions. In the matter of expulsions from the Synod, such processes were to be fair and impartial.

Initially, the President of the Synod as ecclesiastical supervisor was entrusted with the authority to suspend members prior to their expulsion. Not long after, district presidents as the President’s agents were given the authority to suspend, with final decisions regarding expulsion to be made by a convention of the Synod or district. In time, Synod bylaw changes provided opportunity for appeals of suspensions to commissions and boards of the Synod or districts prior to expulsion.

The 1992 convention of the Synod, which created the Synod’s dispute resolution process, retained district presidents’ authority to suspend but delegated the authority for final decisions regarding expulsion from membership to dispute resolution panels. When the 2004 convention created new processes solely for suspension and expulsion, panels of three district presidents were given responsibility for expulsion decisions. The 2010 convention replaced one of the three presidents on such panels with a lay reconciler.

As the removal from membership process evolved over nearly 170 years, of particular note, was the decision by the 1956 convention to empower the President of the Synod to act when a district president failed to act on an accusation brought against a member of the Synod. This presidential authorization to act was then given to the Praesidium of the Synod in 1989 (Bylaw 2.27ff) and retained as part of the overhaul of the suspension/expulsion processes in 1992.

The 2004 convention’s major overhaul of the process removed the right of an accuser to appeal to the Praesidium of the Synod when the district president failed to act within 60 days. In its place, the accuser could now request that the matter be presented to a Referral Panel of three circuit visitors if the district president failed to act, this panel then making the decision regarding the suspension, thereby reducing the opportunity for a full and objective hearing and placing an ecclesiastical supervisory decision in the hands of circuit visitors which constitutionally is entrusted to
the President of Synod and district presidents. 2004 Bylaws 2.14.5ff. also gave a district president the option to form a Referral Panel to make a suspension decision (or not) in his stead.

The history of the suspension/expulsion process supports a return to past practice which existed from 1956 to 1989, leaving the responsibility for suspension in the hands of those charged with ecclesiastical supervision. When a district president fails or declines to act, this will allow an appeal by the accuser to the President of the Synod, who will decide when an accusation warrants suspension and, if requested by the accused, a hearing before a panel.

Finally, in response to a question posed by the chairman of Floor Committee #12, the Commission on Constitutional Matters concludes its opinion (16-2791) with these words:

Constitution Art. XIV provides that “[t]he Synod in convention may adopt bylaws that are consistent with and do not contradict the Constitution of the Synod, which controls and supersedes such bylaws and all other rules and regulations of the Synod.”

In the case of the former (1956–1989) provision, the case for constitutionality was explicitly made, not only demonstrating that the adopted provision “[did] not contradict” the Constitution, but also that it was “consistent with” the framework laid out clearly by the Constitution, that being that the President himself ultimately has the responsibility, under Constitution Art. XI B 1–3, to “promote and maintain unity of doctrine and practice in all the districts of the Synod.” The 1956 convention adopted this mechanism (of appeal in case of inaction of district officials to the President of the Synod, for him to act) as an organic clarification in bylaw of a power the Constitution already granted the President in essence.

In the case of the latter (1989–2004) provision, the record provides no positive constitutional rationale. Bylaws already adopted by the Synod in convention deserve the presumption of constitutionality, as the convention is the “principle legislative assembly” of the Synod (Bylaw 3.1.1) and adopts bylaws only after positive review by the Commission on Constitutional Matters (Bylaw 7.1.1). Upon later review, could they be shown to conflict with the Constitution, they would, of course, be invalid. The commission’s two narrowly-focused reviews of this latter bylaw provision, as applied in an exceptional case, avoided finding it in conflict with Constitution Art. XI B 1–3’s assignment of these responsibilities to the President of the Synod by grounding the provision instead under Constitution Art. XIII 2.

While such narrow reviews avoided finding the latter bylaw provision in conflict with the Constitution, this latter provision and, importantly, the Referral Panels subsequently adopted in its place [Bylaws 2.14.5–2.14.5.3, etc.], are certainly less consistent with the Constitution’s overall design and framework than the former (Constitution Art. XIV).

Moreover, this review finds the change of the Bylaws in 1989 and subsequently (namely, to remove the right of appeal for action to the President, should district officials fail to act, and to replace it first with an appeal to the Praesidium and then with an appeal to a Referral Panel) to conflict with the Constitution of the Synod. This change unconstitutionally deprived the President of the Synod of procedures for exercising a power granted him in Constitution Art. XI B 1–3. That this power to receive appeals for action, in case of the inaction of district officials, is one of the means constitutionally “at [the President’s] command to promote and maintain unity of doctrine and practice in all the districts of the Synod” (Constitution Art. XI B 3) was the opinion of the Synod in convention in 1956. It expressed this opinion explicitly and with its unique and final interpretive power. It must therefore be the opinion also of this commission.

Resolved, That the following changes be made to sections 2.14 and 2.15 & 2.17 of the bylaws.

PRESENT/PROPOSED WORDING

2.14 Expulsion of Congregations or Individuals from Membership in the Synod

Preamble
2.14.1 Termination of membership in the Synod is a serious matter involving both the doctrine and life of those to whom it has been granted.

... (b) The action to commence expulsion of a congregation or individual from membership in the Synod is the sole responsibility of the district president who has the responsibility for the ecclesiastical supervision of such member, or by the President of the Synod under Bylaw 2.14.5.2.

Definition of Terms

2.14.2 The definitions of terms used in this bylaw are as follows:

... 

Referral Panel

2.14.5 In the determination whether or not to initiate formal proceedings, the district president may form a Referral Panel consisting of three circuit visitors of the district.

(a) If three circuit visitors from the district are not available to serve by reason of conflict of interest or otherwise, the district president may select a sufficient number from other districts to form the panel.

(b) This panel shall be formed by blind draw and shall not include the circuit visitor of the accused or the accuser. The blind draw shall be administered by the district president and audited by witnesses.

2.14.5.1 After reviewing the accusation and the results of the investigation, the Referral Panel shall make the determination whether or not to initiate formal proceedings.

2.14.5.2 Whether made by the district president or the Referral Panel, if the determination of the district president is not to initiate formal proceedings, the district president shall in writing so inform the accuser, any other district president involved, and the involved member, which shall terminate the matter, unless the accuser presents the written complaint or accusation to the President of the Synod as provided below (Bylaw 2.14.4.3).

2.14.5.3 If the district president fails to act within 60 days after receipt of the formal written complaint or accusation, the accuser may present a formal written request to the district president for the forming of a Referral Panel, which request the district president must grant. If the provisions of Bylaw 2.14.4 have not been carried out, the Referral Panel shall carry out these provisions in the process of making its determination whether or not to initiate formal proceedings. If the district president fails to act or declines to suspend the member within 90 days after receipt of the written complaint or accusation, the accuser may present the written complaint or accusation to the President of the Synod. If after investigation the President of the Synod determines that the facts are such that it could lead to expulsion of the member under Article XIII of the Constitution, the President of the Synod shall designate one of the vice-presidents of the Synod to proceed in the same fashion as is hereafter required of the district president. If the President of the Synod determines not to proceed, they shall in writing so inform the complainant and the involved member, which shall terminate the matter.

Commencing Formal Proceedings

2.14.65 If the district president or the Referral Panel concludes that the facts form a basis for expulsion of the member under Article XIII of the Constitution, the district president in commencing formal proceedings shall...

(Additionally: the following are related changes unique to Bylaw section “2.15 Expulsion of a District President or Officer from Membership in the Synod.”)
2.15.5 Referral Panel

In the determination of whether or not to initiate formal proceedings, the President of the Synod may form a Referral Panel consisting of three district presidents.

(a) This panel shall be formed by blind draw, shall not include the district president that is a party to the matter or the district president or an accused officer or the district president of the accuser.

(b) The blind draw shall be administered by the chairman of the Council of Presidents audited by witnesses.

2.15.5.1 After reviewing the accusation and the results of the investigation, the Referral Panel shall make the determination whether or not to initiate formal proceedings.

2.15.5.2 Whether made by the President of the Synod or the Referral Panel, if the determination of the President of the Synod is not to initiate formal proceedings, the President of the Synod shall in writing so inform the accuser, any other district president involved, and the accused officer of the Synod or district president involved member, which shall terminate the matter unless the accuser presents the written complaint or accusation to the President of the Synod or the Chairman of the Council of Presidents as provided below (Bylaw 2.15.4.3).

2.15.5.3 If the President of the Synod declines to suspend the officer of the Synod or district president or fails to act within 90 days after receipt of the written complaint or accusation, to act within 60 days after receipt of the formal written complaint or accusation, the accuser may present a formal written request to the President of the Synod for the forming of a Referral Panel, which request the President of the Synod must grant. If the provisions set forth in Bylaw 2.15.4 have not been carried out, the Referral Panel shall carry out these provisions in the process of making the determination whether or not to initiate formal proceedings, the accuser may present the written complaint or accusation to the chairman of the Council of Presidents or next qualified officer of the Council of Presidents. If, after investigation and consultation with other district presidents as he sees fit, the chairman of the Council of Presidents determines that the facts are such as could lead to the expulsion of the member under Article XIII of the Constitution, the chairman of the Council of Presidents shall proceed in the same fashion as is hereafter required of the President of the Synod. If the chairman of the Council of Presidents determines not to proceed, he shall in writing so inform the complainant and the involved member, which shall terminate the matter.

2.17.5 Referral Panel

In the determination whether or not to initiate formal proceedings, the district president may form a Referral Panel consisting of three circuit visitors of the district.

(a) If three circuit visitors from the district are not available to serve by reasons of conflict of interest or otherwise, the district president may select a sufficient number from other districts in order to form the panel.

(b) This panel shall be formed by blind draw and shall not include the circuit visitor of the accused or the accuser. The blind draw shall be administered by the district president audited by witnesses.

2.17.5.1 In the event that the accused is a district president, an officer of the Synod, or the President of the Synod, the Referral Panel shall consist of three district presidents selected by a blind draw administered by the chairman of the Council of Presidents and audited by witnesses. Such panel, which shall exclude any involved district president, shall function as set forth hereafter.

(Additionally: the following are related changes unique to Bylaw section “2.17 Expulsion of Individuals from Membership in the Synod as a Result of Sexual Misconduct or Criminal Behavior.”)
After reviewing the accusation and the results of the investigation, the Referral Panel shall make the determination whether or not to initiate formal proceedings.

Whether made by the district president or the Referral Panel, if the determination of the district president or the President of the Synod is not to initiate formal proceedings, he shall in writing so inform the accuser, any other district president involved, and the involved member, which shall terminate the matter, unless the accuser presents the written complaint or accusation to the President of the Synod or Chairman of the Council of Presidents as provided below (Bylaw 2.17.4.3).

If the district president (or the President of the Synod in the case of a district president or officer of the Synod) declines to suspend the member or fails to act within 90 days after receipt of the formal written complaint or accusation, the accuser may present the a formal written request complaint or accusation to the President of the Synod (or in the case of a district president or officer of the Synod to chairman of the Council of Presidents) to the district president for the forming of the Referral Panel, which request the district president must grant. If the provisions of Bylaw 2.17.4 have not been carried out, the Referral Panel shall carry out these provisions in the process of making its determination whether or not to initiate formal proceedings.

(a) In the case of an individual member, if, after investigation, the President of the Synod determines that the facts are such that it could lead to expulsion of the member under Article XIII of the Constitution, the President of the Synod shall designate one of the vice-presidents of the Synod to proceed in the same fashion as is hereafter required of the district president. If the President of the Synod determines not to proceed, he shall in writing so inform the complainant and the involved member, which shall terminate the matter.

(b) In the case of a district president or officer of the Synod, if, after investigation and consultation with other members of the Council of Presidents, if deemed necessary, the Chairman of the Council of Presidents determines that the facts are such that it could lead to expulsion of the member under Article XIII of the Constitution, he shall proceed in the same fashion as is hereafter required of the district president. If the Chairman of the Council of Presidents determines not to proceed, he shall in writing so inform the complainant and the involved member, which shall terminate the matter.

Commencing Formal Proceedings

If the appropriate district president or the President of the Synod or the chairman of the Council of Presidents or the Referral Panel, whichever the case may be, concludes that the facts form a basis for expulsion of the member under Article XIII of the Constitution, the appropriate ecclesiastical supervisor in commencing formal proceedings shall...

(Please see Addendum immediately following this Res. 12-01A for entire CCM Opinion 16-2791: 174, Constitutionality of Historical Appeal to President and Praesidium in Expulsion Cases.)

To Encourage Calling Church Workers on Candidate Status

Resolution 12-02A

Overtures 12-03-04, 12-06, 12-09 (CW, pp. 416–418)

WHEREAS, There are ordained and commissioned church workers on candidate status, that is, rostered church workers without calls, but who are available for calls and service to the church; and

WHEREAS, The Synod wishes to be diligent in its care for these workers and their families; and
WHEREAS, The Synod desires to be a good steward of these gifts from God; and

WHEREAS, District presidents are charged with ecclesiastical supervision, which “includes visitation, evangelical encouragement and support, care, protection, [and] counsel” (Bylaw 1.2.1[i]) of the rostered church workers in their districts; and

WHEREAS, The congregation has the sole authority to call workers; therefore be it

Resolved, That district presidents continue to provide pastoral care for these workers and their families; and be it further

Resolved, That district presidents regularly report to their vice presidents and circuit visitors their efforts to provide such pastoral care; and be it further

Resolved, That district presidents publish annually in a district publication the names of these candidates; and be it further

Resolved, That district presidents shall report annually to the Council of Presidents the names of all the ordained ministers of religion on candidate status in each district and shall review with the full Council of Presidents his efforts to help these men either to be prepared and available for call or understand the need to seek another vocation; and be it further

Resolved, That as part of this review process district presidents shall report annually to the Council of Presidents the names of candidates who are prepared and available for a call; and be it further

Resolved, That the Council of Presidents be directed at each its regular meetings to review in the above manner at least 25% of the list of ordained ministers of religion on candidate status so that at minimum each man’s situation is thoroughly reviewed by the Council annually; and be it further

Resolved, that district presidents or their representatives shall visit annually with each ordained worker on candidate status to review his situation in a pastoral manner; and be it further

Resolved, That the Synod’s Chief Mission Officer and Executive Director of Pastoral Education explore with the leadership of the seminaries and the Council of Presidents the possible development of programs at both seminaries that would help willing candidates be better prepared to re-enter active ministry; and be it further

Resolved, that congregations that have requested, but not received, graduating seminarian candidates for ordination, be encouraged to request from their district president names of ordained ministers of religion on candidate status who are prepared and available for a call; and be it further

Resolved, that congregations be encouraged to consider calling pastors on candidate status for past-time service; and be it finally; and be it finally

Resolved, that congregations and schools that have requested, but not received, commissioned ministers of religion through the regular placement process following certification, be encouraged to request from their district president names of commissioned ministers of religion on candidate status who are prepared and available for a call.

To Expedite the Dispute Resolution and Suspension/Expulsion Processes

RESOLUTION 12-04A

Report R65 (CW, pp. 297–298); Overture 12-42 (CW, pp. 432–435)
Rationale

Bylaw 1.10.1 speaks of disputes, disagreements, or offenses as “a grave concern for the whole church” that “should be resolved promptly.” An oft-voiced concern regarding the current dispute resolution and suspension/expulsion processes is that they take overly long to complete.

In addition, current time frames vary between the bylaws governing dispute resolution and those governing suspension/expulsion processes, often for identical steps in the processes. The following changes to Bylaw sections 1.10 and 2.14 will conserve time and provide uniformity. The changes to Bylaw section 2.14 are also, upon adoption by the 2016 convention, to be applied to the 2.15, 2.16, and 2.17 processes as shown in the addendum to this report.

Also proposed is an addition to Bylaws 1.10.4 and 2.14.2 to accommodate unforeseen circumstances that at times make strict adherence to time frames impossible, also providing authority to the administrator of the process to grant exceptions and to report intentional non-compliance to the President of the Synod.

Finally, an additional source of potentially lengthy delay has been the difficulty experienced by the Commission on Theology and Church Relations in responding within the time frames established by bylaws to requests for opinions regarding theological issues surfaced by the dispute resolution and expulsion processes. Included in the proposed actions below is a bylaw solution that would authorize the executive committee of the commission to render these opinions within the established time frames.

Therefore be it

Resolved, That the following changes be made to Bylaw sections 1.10 and 2.14 to expedite and provide uniformity throughout the Synod dispute resolution and suspension/expulsion processes, it being understood that changes to the Bylaw section 2.14 process will be applied to the Bylaw sections 2.15, 2.16, and 2.17 processes during preparation of the 2016 Handbook.

PRESENT/PROPOSED WORDING

1.10 Dispute Resolution of the Synod

10.5... (c) Within 45 days of the conclusion of the consultation and receipt of any advice or opinions as described above, the district president shall... 10.6.1 The administrator shall promptly within 15 days select the reconciler in the manner hereinafter set forth and then notify the parties....

10.7 If the parties to a dispute with the assistance of the reconciler have been unable to achieve reconciliation, the complainant shall notify the Secretary of the Synod with 30 days after receiving....

10.7.2 Within 30 days after the appointment of the panel, the hearing facilitator shall confer with parties to the dispute and the Dispute Resolution Panel for the purpose of choosing a location....

10.7.3 The formal hearing before the Dispute Resolution Panel, conducted by a hearing facilitator, 2.14 Expulsion of Congregations or Individuals from Membership in the Synod

2.14.3... (f) Only Within 45 days after all the requirements of the consultation provided in this bylaw (Bylaw 2.14.3) have been followed may the accuser.... 2.14.5.3... the Referral Panel shall carry out these provisions in the process of making its determination within 60 days whether or not to initiate formal proceedings.

2.14.6... the district president in commencing formal proceedings shall... (c) provide to the member a written notification that the member has 15 days from the date of receipt of the statement of the matter....

2.14.7.6 Within 15 days after the Hearing Panel is constituted, the hearing facilitator shall, after conferring with the panel, the accused, and the district president who imposed the suspended status, select a date....

2.14.7.6...and location within 45 days after the Hearing Panel was constituted for the panel to
shall take place within 60 days after the date of the
final selection of the hearing facilitator, location and
date of the formal hearing, unless there is unanimous
consent by the panel members for a short delay
beyond such 60 days for reasons the panel deems
appropriate.

1.10.7.(b) Within 60 days after the hearing, the
panel shall issue a written decision that shall
state the facts determined by the panel…

2.14.7.9 Upon completion of the hearing, the
Hearing Panel shall deliberate and then issue its
written decision within 30 days.

1.10.8 Within 45 days after receiving the decision
of the Dispute Resolution Panel, any party to the
dispute or the President of the Synod…may
appeal….

1.10.8.2 Within 45 days after receipt of an Appeal
Panel shall be selected in the prescribed manner, and
the Secretary of the Synod shall send the appeal….

1.10.8.3 Within 30 days after its formation, the
Appeal Panel shall issue its written decision in
response to the request for reconsideration.

1.10.8.4 If an appeal is granted, the Secretary of the
Synod, or his representative, shall, within 21 days,
select a Review Panel….

and be it further

Resolved, That, because unforeseen circumstances can make adherence to time allowances in the above
processes impossible at times, the following paragraphs in the definitions bylaws governing the dispute resolution
and suspension/expulsion processes be added to allow exceptions when necessary:

1.10.4.(p) **Shall:** Retains its compulsory meaning
in this bylaw section. Its use, however, in connection
with time frame expectations may require exceptions
at times upon good cause shown due allowed by the
administrator of the process.

2.14.2.(s) **Shall:** Retains its compulsory meaning
in this bylaw section. Its use, however, in connection
with time frame expectations may require exceptions
at times upon good cause shown to be
allowed by the administrator of the process.

and be it further

Resolved, That, to provide incentive for adhering to the time frames embedded in these bylaws, the following
new definition paragraphs be added to Bylaws 1.10.4 and 2.14.2:

1.10.4.(r) **Time Frame:** Period of time allowed
for carrying out a bylaw requirement, to be
monitored by the administrator of the process,
incidents of purposeful non-compliance to
be reported to the President of the Synod.

2.14.2.(w) **Time Frame:** Period of time allowed
for carrying out a bylaw requirement, to be monitored
by the administrator of the process, incidents of purposeful non-compliance to be reported to the
President of the Synod.

and be it finally

Resolved, That Bylaw 1.5.3.2 be amended and a new Bylaw 3.9.5.2.3 be added to authorize the executive
committee of the Commission on Theology and Church Relations (CTCR) to function on behalf of the commission and respond to dispute resolution and suspension/expulsion process requests for opinions:

1.5.3.2 All mission boards, commissions, and governing boards may make use of executive committees to act in times of emergency between plenary meetings, and to act on delegated assignments, and to act as specified elsewhere in these Bylaws.

3.9.5.2.3 The executive committee of the commission shall, within 30 days, provide opinions on theological matters in response to questions presented by ecclesiastical supervisors or panels as described in the dispute resolution and suspension/expulsion processes of the Synod (Bylaw sections 1.10 and 2.14–2.17). Because these opinions are in response to a specific situation, they shall carry no precedential authority beyond that particular matter.

To Clarify Definition of Dissent

RESOLUTION 12-07A

R65 (CW, pp. 306–307)

Rationale

“The Lutheran Church has always affirmed the right and responsibility of expressing dissent from teachings and practices believed to be at odds with God’s Word” (Commission on Theology and Church Relations on p. 6 of its 2006 report, “CTCR Response to Expressions of Dissent [2004–2006]”). Accordingly, the Synod has established an agreed-upon procedure for dissent which respects both the dissenter and the Synod. When, then, a member of the Synod in such forums as “blogs, Facebook pages, and email pages publicly teaches and advocates that a doctrinal position of the Synod as stated in a resolution of the Synod is in error and does not use the Synod’s dissent procedures, he/she/it may no longer be honoring and upholding the Constitution, Bylaws, and resolutions of the Synod and could thereby be subject to a charge of false doctrine” (CCM Opinion 13–2665).

Given the development and expanded use of such electronic media by members of the Synod, often to call into question matters of doctrine and practice, at times attempting to excuse such conduct as conversation “within the fellowship of peers” (Bylaw 1.8.2), the Synod will do well to expand its bylaw section on dissent with the following changes developed upon consideration of the opinion by the Commission on Constitutional Matters in its August 2013 meeting (Opinion 13-2665).

Therefore be it

Resolved, That Bylaw section 1.8 “Dissent” be expanded to address current concerns by adding additional wording:

PROPOSED WORDING

1.8 Dissent

1.8.1 While retaining the right of brotherly dissent, members of the Synod are expected as part of the life together within the fellowship of the Synod to honor and uphold the resolutions of the Synod.

1.8.2 Dissent from the doctrinal position of the Synod as expressed in its resolutions and doctrinal statements is to be expressed first within the fellowship of peers (that is, with those who are competent to evaluate the issue critically) and then brought to the attention of the Commission on Theology and Church Relations before finding expression as an overture to the Synod in convention calling for revision or recision. The discussion among the fellowship of peers is to be conducted privately and confidentially among those who are competent rather than a public forum. While the conscience of the dissenter shall be respected, the
consciences of others, as well as the collective will of the Synod, shall also be respected.

1.8.3. This right of brotherly dissent does not allow a member of the Synod publicly to teach or practice contrary to the established doctrinal position of the Synod. Any such public teaching shall place in jeopardy membership in the Synod.

To Respectfully Decline Overtures

RESOLUTION 12-10A

WHEREAS, The floor committee has considered all overtures assigned to it and has concluded, for various reasons, that certain overtures should be declined; therefore be it

Resolved, That the following overtures be respectfully declined for the reasons given:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12-14</td>
<td>To Assist and Encourage Resolution of Disputes Where Facts are Unclear and Evidence is Possessed by Synod</td>
<td>Request unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-28</td>
<td>To Create a Commission on Doctrinal Fidelity</td>
<td>Already have Commission on Theology and Church Relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-33</td>
<td>To Require District Presidents to Provide Church Worker Information When Requested</td>
<td>Redundant with current processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-34</td>
<td>To Improve Transparency of Council of Presidents Procedures and Meetings</td>
<td>Material Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-35</td>
<td>To Permit Access by Rostered Workers to Own Personnel Records</td>
<td>Redundant with current processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-36</td>
<td>To Prioritize District Presidents Work to Within Their Districts</td>
<td>Violates Bylaws</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Routes to Ministry

To Extol and Equip the Blessed Partnership Between the Royal Priesthood and the Office of the Public Ministry

RESOLUTION 13-01A

Overtures 13-22, 13-28 (CW, pp. 452, 455)

WHEREAS, The apostle Peter affirms the royal priesthood, that all are called to “proclaim the excellencies of Him who called you out of darkness” (1 Peter 2:9); and

WHEREAS, There exists a blessed partnership in the ministry of the Gospel between the royal priesthood and
those called to the Office of the Public Ministry; and

WHEREAS, The Treatise on the Power and Primacy of the Pope quotes from the words of Jesus in Matt. 18:19–20, where He says, “If two of you agree on earth,” to affirm that Jesus “grants the power of the keys principally and without mediation to the church”; and

WHEREAS, Martin Luther wrote:

We have been born of this bridegroom and bride through holy baptism and thus have become true clerics in Christendom in a hereditary manner, sanctified by his blood and consecrated by his Holy Spirit, as St. Peter calls us in 1 Peter 2:9: “But you are…a royal priesthood” for offering spiritual sacrifices. St. Paul also extols us as priests in the Epistle to the Romans, chapter 12:1, for he calls upon us “to present your bodies as a living sacrifice, holy and acceptable to God…. “ Now to make sacrifices to God is solely the office of the priests, as the pope himself and all the world must admit. Moreover, we are not only his children, but also his brothers, as he says in [Ps. 22:22], “I will tell of thy name to my brethren,” and in the Gospel of Matthew: “Whoever does the will of my Father in heaven is my brother, and my sister, and my mother” Matt. 12:50. So we are not only true clerics and priests according to our right as children but also according to our right as brothers. This, our hereditary priesthood with which we are born, we do not want to have taken away, impeded and obscured; rather, we want to have it brought out into the open, proclaimed and extolled with all its honors in order that it should beam and shine like the precious sun and blind the eyes of the devil and his hypocrisies and abominations,… (AE 38:187–188).

and also

If they were forced to grant that all of us that have been baptized are equally priests, as indeed we are, and that only the ministry was committed to them, yet with our common consent, they would then know that they have no right to rule over us except insofar as we freely concede it. For thus it is written in 1 Peter 2:9, “You are a chosen race, a royal priesthood, and a priestly royalty.” Therefore we are all priests, as many of us as are Christians. But the priests, as we call them, are ministers chosen from among us. All that they do is done in our name; the priesthood is nothing but a ministry.” (AE 44:127)

and

WHEREAS, The holy ministry, or the pastoral office, is an office distinct from the priestly office, which belongs to all believers; and

WHEREAS, God has blessed the Church with a talented laity, whom He has blessed with spiritual gifts; therefore be it

Resolved, That The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod give thanks for district, university, and college based lay training programs and the many willing lay servants who have sought to further their theological education and desire to serve in the work and mission of the Lord’s Church; and be it further

Resolved, That such lay training programs continue their work and include a major emphasis on evangelism and the task of outreach, as well as mercy, education, visitation, and so forth in our increasingly diverse and challenging cultural context; and be it further

Resolved, That congregations and districts be encouraged to identify individuals for special training in and attention to evangelism and outreach; and be it further

Resolved, That congregations and districts be encouraged to facilitate lay training on both local and district levels and to establish new opportunities for lay people to make use of their gifts in evangelism and service to the church; and be it further

Resolved, That districts be encouraged to provide assistance and support for lay training; and be it finally
Resolved, That the President of the Synod convene a task force including lay leaders, representatives from districts both with and without licensed lay deacons, and representatives from the Council of Presidents, both seminaries, and the Commission on Theology and Church Relations to consider how best to facilitate an ongoing conversation and communication among laity, districts, and the Synod in order to foster the blessed complementary relationship between the royal priesthood and the Office of the Public Ministry, and also to consider

(1) the royal priesthood and the office of the public ministry in the New Testament (in light of the many offices that are mentioned, e.g., deacon, elder, overseer);
(2) the challenges of an increasingly multicultural America and a country that has become the third largest mission field in the world; and
(3) the growing number of congregations and ministries that cannot provide financial support for a pastor.

[The estimated cost to implement this proposed resolution appears in the Report of the Finance Floor Committee – found in Sunday’s issue of Today’s Business.]

To Regularize Status of Licensed Lay Deacons Involved in Word and Sacrament Ministry

RESOLUTION 13-02A

Overtures 13-01–47, 49–50 (CW, pp. 437–464)

WHEREAS, The Scriptures and the Lutheran Confessions teach that our Lord gave the keys of the kingdom to His whole church, the royal priesthood of believers (Matt. 16:15–19; Matt. 18:18–20; 1 Peter 2:9; Augsburg Confession [AC] Article XXVIII, paragraph 10; Smalcald Articles [SA], Section III, paragraph 7; Treatise [Tr] paragraph 11; see also Walther’s Church and Ministry/Office); and

WHEREAS, The Scriptures and Confessions also teach that Christ established an office that is distinct from the priesthood of believers (the office of the public ministry) for teaching and nurturing His royal priests by means of preaching the Gospel and administering the Sacraments (1 Cor. 12:29; Rom. 10:15; James 3:1; AC XIV; see also Walther’s Church and Ministry/Office); and

WHEREAS, The royal priesthood and the office of the public ministry are to have a complementary and not a competitive or conflicted relationship; and

WHEREAS, In its history the Lutheran church has always maintained the divine requirement (de jure divino) of the office of public ministry, while it has in many and various ways prepared men for the Office of the Public Ministry, since the manner of preparation for the office is by human arrangement (de jure humano); and

WHEREAS, The Lutheran Church has always ensured, on the basis of Scripture: (1) that men who are to serve in the office of public ministry be examined as to their doctrine and life; (2) that the congregations they serve willingly call them into service; and (3) that the wider church (other churches in fellowship with the congregation) also affirms them as fellow ministers of the Word and Sacraments (see Acts 1:15–26; Acts 14:23; 1 Tim. 3:1–7; Titus 1 5; see also Tr 24, 26, 67–70); and

WHEREAS, The rite of ordination, although not a divine institution (Church and Ministry, Ministry Thesis VI), is the apostolic custom by which Lutherans have designated and publicly acknowledged a man as a minister of Word and Sacrament, that is, as one who is in the Office of the Public Ministry and recognized by the wider fellowship as a fellow minister (Ap XIII 11–13); and

WHEREAS, In faithfulness to the Word of God and to its confession requiring a regular call for those who preach...
and administer the sacraments publicly in the church (AC XIV; Ap XIV), The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod has followed the practice of identifying those who are eligible to be called into the Office of the Public Ministry by the certification of one of the seminary faculties or the Colloquy Committee for the Pastoral Ministry; and

WHEREAS, In a human institution such as the LCMS, such human arrangements are necessary in order to foster harmony and prevent needless confusion and division; and

WHEREAS, The LCMS has long-recognized that challenging circumstances may make it difficult or impossible to provide a pastor for congregations and ministries, for example: (1) financial challenges (numerous congregations and missions are unable to support a pastor financially); (2) geographical challenges (small, isolated congregations in remote areas often face financial challenges and may have no pastors in geographic proximity to them); (3) demographic challenges (urban, minority, non-English-speaking, and ethnically diverse congregations and missions may find no rostered candidates available to fill their pastoral needs); and

WHEREAS, The practice of licensing lay deacons for temporary service in certain difficult circumstances, like the afore-mentioned examples, was approved by the LCMS in 1989 Res. 3-05B as a means to address the need for regular preaching of the Word and administration of the Sacraments by utilizing trained and supervised laymen to serve “temporarily” in Word and Sacrament ministry when “no pastor [is] available,” “in exceptional circumstances or in emergencies” (1989 Res. 3-05B, Nomenclature, Guidelines 1 b); and

WHEREAS, There has been significant dissension in the LCMS over the Synod-approved practice of licensing laymen to preach and administer the Sacraments while under the supervision of an ordained pastor, but the Synod needs to move forward together with deep concern for fidelity to the word of Christ as we confess it together and for faithfulness in the mission that Christ has given to His Church; and

WHEREAS, 1989 Res. 3-05B repeatedly references temporary service for deacons when no pastor is available in emergencies and exceptional circumstances, but in some cases deacons have served for years regularly preaching and administering the Sacraments; and

WHEREAS, The presence of continuing dissension about licensed lay deacons is an aspect of further disagreement about elements of doctrine and practice, e.g., the understanding of the pastoral office, the relationship between the Office of the Public Ministry and the royal priesthood, the understanding of call and ordination, and faithful and effective ways to share the Gospel and plant churches in our post-Christian world; and

WHEREAS, The Specific Ministry Pastors (SMP) Program was established by the LCMS convention (2007 Res. 5-01B) in response to the need for effective theological education and practical training to prepare pastors to serve in particularly challenging settings and situations that prevent them from enrolling in residential theological education; and

WHEREAS, The Synod recognizes a need for flexibility in its approach to preparing men to serve in the Office of the Public Ministry while upholding the biblical requirement that they be men who are “above reproach” and “able to teach,” and so offers approved training programs to prepare pastors for urban and cross-cultural service, namely, the Center for Hispanic Studies, the Cross-Cultural Ministry Center, the Ethnic Immigrant Institute of Theology (EIIT), all at Concordia Seminary, St. Louis, and the Spanish-speaking SMP track at Concordia Theological Seminary, Fort Wayne (CTSFW); and

WHEREAS, There is a need within the LCMS to regularize the status of licensed lay deacons who are engaged in Word and Sacrament ministry; and

WHEREAS, The Synod directed the President to appoint a task force to address questions 2 about the practice of licensing lay deacons, and the task force, as required, reported a recommended plan to address questions (see Convention Workbook, pp.234–255) and the theological framework of the report has been affirmed by both seminary faculties and the Commission on Theology and Church Relations (CTCR); and

WHEREAS, The Synod has budgeted $40,000 for the remainder of the current fiscal year and is committed to budgeting at least $150,000 per year for the next two years to assist deacons entering the SMP and EIIT programs;
Resolved, That the LCMS recognize that “emergency knows no rule” and that no Synod action can or should prevent a congregation from taking reasonable and scripturally faithful steps necessary to provide for the Word to be proclaimed in time of emergency, while at the same time, every congregation of the Synod is required to address matters involving the Office of the Public Ministry in a way that is consistent with its subscription to Scripture and the Confessions, as well as its agreement to abide by the Synod’s Constitution; and be it further

Resolved, That the LCMS affirm and give thanks for the men who have recognized the needs of the church and its mission, serving as licensed lay deacons, preaching and administering the Sacraments in keeping with 1989 Res. 3-05B, often without remuneration and at personal cost, lest congregations be deprived of the means of grace; and be it further

Resolved, That the LCMS, while mindful of the need for continued conversation within the church, affirm the theological framework of the “2013 Resolution 4-06A Task Force Report,” namely, that a right calling to the office of public ministry requires that a man be properly prepared and examined regarding doctrine and life, be called by the congregation (or ministry) where he is to serve, and publicly appointed in a way so that the entire church fellowship recognizes the validity of his service (Acts 13:1–3; 14:21–23; 2 Tim. 2:24–26; Titus 1:5); and be it further

Resolved, That the Colloquy Committee for the Pastoral Ministry establish and implement an expanded regional colloquy program (with appropriate regional colloquy committees) to regularize the status of current licensed lay deacons (LLDs) who are 50 years of age or older and who have been preaching the Gospel and/or administering the Sacraments publicly on behalf of the church for the past 2 years (de facto pastors), so that these servants of Christ can be called and ordained according to the order of the LCMS and be rostered as pastors with SMP status; and be it further

Resolved, That exceptions to the SMP colloquy requirements with respect to age and years of service for LLDs may be granted by the appropriate regional colloquy committee with the approval of the respective district president and the plenary of the Council of Presidents; and be it further

Resolved, That nothing in this resolution shall be construed as impeding the training, recognition, credentialing or service of deacons who do not publicly preach or administer the sacraments, namely, those who serve in ministries of mercy, education, or visitation, and so forth, or in an outreach role, assisting in evangelism and church planting (but not in public preaching and administration of the sacraments); and be it further

Resolved, That district presidents may continue to recruit, train, and credential new deacons for general varieties of service in the church that do not include public preaching and administration of the sacraments; and be it further

Resolved, That until January 1, 2018, district presidents may train and annually license lay deacons to preach publicly and to administer the Sacraments. Beyond that date in exceptional cases, as defined in (1) and (2) below, the appropriate district president may annually grant licensure with the consent of the plenary of the Council of Presidents and the Colloquy Committee for the Pastoral Ministry.

(1) the deacon serves under the direct supervision of an ordained pastor and is currently enrolled in or preparing for one of the LCMS training tracks for the office of public ministry and participates in the public ministry as an aspect of his training, e.g., in preparation for SMP, EIIT, etc.; or

(2) the deacon serves in distinctive aspects of the office of public ministry (that is, preaching or administration of the sacraments) only during times of emergency or extraordinary need (when there is no ordained pastor available or able to serve), only on a temporary or occasional basis, and under the direct supervision of an ordained pastor; and be it further

Resolved, That those deacons currently licensed for and serving in Word and Sacrament Ministry (that is, publicly preaching and administering the sacraments) shall have until July 1, 2018, to:

(1) apply to one of our seminaries for admission into an alternate route program,
Resolved, That the district president, the plenary of the Council of Presidents and the regional colloquy committees, in making decisions related to the three resolves above, shall do so in a fashion that no congregation or current ministry will be forced to close or discontinue; and be it further

Resolved, That lay deacons licensed for Word and Sacrament Ministry who have applied for colloquy to the SMP roster prior to July 1, 2018, shall continue to serve under their current district licensure until the colloquy process is complete and certification is given by the Colloquy Committee; and be it further

Resolved, That upon the certification of the Colloquy Committee, the licensed lay deacons will be eligible to be called by the congregations where they have been serving, ordained into the Office of the Public Ministry, and placed on the roster of SMP pastors; and be it further

Resolved, That as recommended by the Res. 4-06A Task Force, the LCMS, in partnership with districts, congregations, and individuals, provide funding to ensure that financial constraints will not prevent any eligible licensed lay deacon from participating in an LCMS seminary ordination-track program; and be it further

Resolved, That the First Vice-President of the Synod and three members of the Council of Presidents appointed by the Council be directed to draft by June 1, 2017, necessary policies and procedures for the implementation of this resolution, such policies and procedures to be finalized and approved by the Colloquy Committee for the Pastoral Ministry; and be it finally

Resolved, That the LCMS thank and commend the Res. 4-06A Task Force for its work on the question of licensed lay deacons.

[The estimated cost to implement this proposed resolution appears in the Report of the Finance Floor Committee – found in Sunday’s issue of Today’s Business.]

To Clarify Colloquy Requirements

RESOLUTION 13-04A

Report R64 (CW, pp. 268–289)

WHEREAS, The 2013 Convention of The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod (LCMS) adopted Resolution 5-14A, which reads, simply:

WHEREAS, The Specific Ministry Pastor (SMP) Task Force Report notes that there are eight routes to ordained ministry; and

WHEREAS, The SMP Task Force Report recommends for the sake of clarity and simplicity that a study of the non-Master of Divinity routes to the Pastoral Office take place; therefore be it

Resolved, That the Synod President appoint a task force (chaired by the Executive Director for Pastoral Education) to conduct a study of the non-Master of Divinity routes to the Pastoral Office, and that it report its findings and the recommendations regarding the appropriateness of each route, the optimal number of such routes, etc., to the Synod President by the end of 2015 for action at the 2016 Synod convention. and be it further
WHEREAS, The task force has concluded that all the several means by which the church recruits, trains, and certifies men to be placed into the pastoral office are both appropriate and needed for the life and mission of our Synod and ought be recognized as such by the Synod. The task force recommends that the Synod by resolution recognize that each of the means we have for the church to recruit and train men for pastoral office is appropriate and needed; and

WHEREAS, The task force recommends that eligibility for regular colloquy be clarified in the Synod’s Bylaws; therefore be it

Resolved, That the Synod in convention receive with thanks the Report of the Task Force; and be it further

Resolved, That the Synod in convention amend the Bylaws of the Synod by adding after Bylaw 3.10.2.2 the following new paragraphs to be numbered 3.10.2.3, 3.10.2.4, and 3.10.2.5, respectively:

3.10.2.3 Applicants for the ordained ministry who are eligible for colloquy under the Colloquy Committee’s published policies may make application directly to the committee. Other applicants for the ordained ministry, such as Ministers of Religion—Commissioned, laymen of a special ethnic or linguistic group, and laymen who have fulfilled at least ten years of significant service in a congregation, may make application directly to a seminary for the Residential Alternate Route or any other appropriate program.

3.10.2.4 The LCMS laymen and commissioned ministers who receive a Master of Divinity or equivalent degree from a non-LCMS seminary may seek certification for call and placement in the Synod by participating in the Residential Alternate Route program of one of the seminaries of the Synod, if otherwise eligible for admission to the seminary.

3.10.2.5 All men desiring the ordained ministry who do not meet the eligibility requirements of the foregoing bylaws shall be directed to the seminaries for consideration in other programs.

And be it finally

Resolved, That the Synod in convention thank the members of the task force for their, time, communication, care, listening, and recommendations to continue to prepare men for the pastoral office in the different routes.

15. Reformation

To Encourage the Use of the Two-Hour Luther Documentary

RESOLUTION 15-02A

President’s Report, Part 2 (TB, pp. 21–31)

WHEREAS, A rare moment of history is upon the church, as she marks the 500th anniversary of the Reformation in the year 2017, providing an opportune time to publicize the Christian doctrine of salvation by grace through faith in Jesus Christ through Scripture alone as opposed to the doctrine of works, for only the pure Gospel consoles guilty consciences; and

WHEREAS, Dr. Martin Luther on the very day he posted the Ninety-Five Theses wrote: “The first and only duty of the bishops, however, is to see that the people learn the gospel and the love of Christ” (American Edition 48:46); and

WHEREAS, Dr. Martin Luther commenting on Psalm 117:1, “Praise the LORD, all you nations,” foresees God’s intent for the conversion of the Gentiles, for how can the heathen praise God unless they come to faith, writes:


“Wherever there are heathen—or a country or a city—there the Gospel will penetrate and will convert some to the kingdom of Christ. Regardless of whether all people believe it, still Christ rules wherever there are people; He preserves His Word, His Baptism and Sacrament, despite all devils and men. For the Gospel must come to the whole world…” (American Edition 14:12-13); and

WHEREAS, Three church bodies—The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod, Wisconsin Evangelical Lutheran Synod, and the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America—collaborated with the help of funds from Thrivent Financial to fund the production of a two-hour documentary on Luther for Public Broadcasting Service to be shown the Fall 2017 and by distribution through DVDs; and

WHEREAS, The Luther documentary clearly confesses the “first and chief article...(that) ‘All have sinned,’ and ‘they are now justified without merit by his grace, through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus…by his blood’ (Rom. 3[23-25]) (Smalcald Articles, II, par. 1); therefore be it

Resolved, That the congregations of The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod take this unique opportunity to publicize the ongoing significance of the Reformation by not only showing the Luther documentary for themselves but to publicize to their communities so that many might hear the proclamation of salvation by grace through faith in Jesus Christ alone for the consolation of consciences when and where it pleases God in those who hear the gospel.

To Honor 500th Anniversary of the Reformation by Growing in the Word and Showing Christ to the World

RESOLUTION 15-04A

Report R1 (CW, pp. 5, 8-9); President’s Report, Part 2 (TB, pp. 21–31)

WHEREAS, In the 500th Anniversary of the Reformation in 2017 we are celebrating the triumph of the Gospel, not of Martin Luther or any other individual or church body, and that the Lutheran Reformation is “still all about Jesus”; and

WHEREAS, The source of renewal and reformation is always in the Word, as the Lord Jesus says, “If you abide in My word . . . you will know the truth, and the truth will set you free.” (John 8:31-32); and

WHEREAS, Luther’s Gospel discovery was because of his personal immersion in the Word; and

WHEREAS, As we celebrate the blessings of the Reformation…not something that is ours alone, but is for others as well, as Dr. Francis Pieper said in his address to the Synod in 1908: “We must not forget: God is present with His grace only among the humble. He who would be something in the Church only apparently accomplishes something. And finally God casts him aside. God’s power has the unique property that it is only powerful in the weak. Let us be so minded by God’s grace that we are nothing, and that God’s grace and God’s Word are everything. God grant that we never advocate for something that is ours, but only and always for God’s Word!”; and

WHEREAS, That witness could take such forms as witnessing in our various vocations and supporting ministries of witness and mercy in our congregations, circuits, Districts, and Synod; therefore be it

Resolved, That the members of the Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod (LCMS) to commit to grow in faith themselves, personally and corporately, by reading through the entire Scriptures in 2017; and be it further

Resolved, That as we grow in the Word ourselves we would entreat the Lord to make us humble and faithful witnesses to the power of Christ’s forgiveness and mercy in a world that badly needs it.
16. Family, Youths, and Young Adults

To Make Strengthening Lutheran Families a Mission Priority

RESOLUTION 16-02A

Overture 16-01 (CW, p.476); President’s Report, Part 2 (TB, pp. 21–31)

WHEREAS, The foundation of every human society is the family as created and sustained by God (Gen. 1:26–28; 12:13; Matt. 19:1–9); and

WHEREAS, The family as created and sustained by God is under constant attack from the devil, the world, and the flesh; and

WHEREAS, Dr. Martin Luther’s emphasis and teaching on the household estate and the family vocations of husband and wife, father, mother, and child was a key insight of the Reformation; and

WHEREAS, The unmarried and widowed also constitute an essential and God-pleasing part of the family (1 Cor. 7:8); and

WHEREAS, Two demographic reports commissioned by the Stewardship Ministry of the Office of National Mission indicate that the biblical pattern of marriage, family, and child-rearing is negatively impacted by the secular culture of today; and

WHEREAS, These same reports indicate a decline of the Lutheran family over the past four decades in terms of accepting and nurturing God’s gift of life with joy and thanksgiving; and

WHEREAS, The family home is to be the place of forming Christian disciples; and

WHEREAS, The witness of our children, discipled at home and in the church, is key to reaching those outside the faith; and

WHEREAS, Children are a blessing according to the Word of God, and serve as the future of the Church; and

WHEREAS, The Office of National Mission has begun a Lutheran Family Initiative among its various programmatic ministries to begin looking at ways to strengthen the Lutheran family; and

WHEREAS, The LCMS currently has six mission priorities, to wit:

1. Plant, sustain, and revitalize distinctly Lutheran churches.
2. Support and expand theological education.
3. Perform human care in close proximity to Word and Sacrament ministry.
4. Collaborate with the Synod’s members and partners to enhance mission effectiveness.
5. Nurture pastors, missionaries, and professional church workers to promote spiritual, emotional, and physical well-being.

therefore be it

Resolved, That the convention commend the work of the Office of National Mission’s Lutheran Family Initiative; and be it further

Resolved, That the 2016 LCMS Convention amend the mission priorities to include “Strengthen and support the Lutheran family in living out God’s design.”
NEW RESOLUTIONS

1. National Witness

To Encourage and Support Hispanic Ministry Initiatives

RESOLUTION 1-07

WHEREAS, The Hispanic population in the United States has experienced an explosive increase in the last two decades growing to 50.4 million; and

WHEREAS, The largest growing sub-segments of the Hispanic community is 2nd and 3rd generation; and

WHEREAS, The LCMS Office of National Mission (ONM) has, in the last triennium, partnered with LCMS districts to plant new Hispanic outreach ministries; and

WHEREAS, Other programmatic, educational, and fellowship opportunities to promote and support Hispanic Ministry are being provided by the National Lutheran Hispanic Convention; and

WHEREAS, Concordia Publishing House has produced the Spanish-language version of The Lutheran Study Bible (La Biblia de la Reforma), and a wide variety of additional, helpful Spanish-language resources; and

WHEREAS, The challenges of Hispanic ministry, whose souls constitute the largest ethnic population in North America, far outweighs people and financial resources; and

WHEREAS, A previous LCMS Convention received and supported the recommendations of the Blue Ribbon Task Force for Hispanic Ministry which included providing leadership, assessment and resourcing for LCMS Hispanic Ministry; therefore be it

Resolved, That the 66th Convention of The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod, joyously give all glory to God and resounding thanks for LCMS Hispanic Ministry, who with limited resources and budgets, work tirelessly to share the saving gospel of Jesus Christ with the growing number of Hispanics who now reside in the immediate neighborhoods and communities of our LCMS congregations; and be it further

Resolved, That ONM provide a renewed emphasis for ongoing and new Hispanic ministry work by resourcing ongoing efforts of LCMS districts and local congregations and providing appropriate financial resources to fund the effort; and be it finally

Resolved, That all LCMS districts and congregations continue to evaluate and make opportunity to reach out to Hispanic populations, whether in Spanish or in English.

11. Structure and Administration

To Establish Representation at Synod Conventions for the Boards for National and International Mission

RESOLUTION 11-17

Overtures 11-25, 11-28 (CW, pp. 394, 396)

WHEREAS, Bylaw 3.1.4.1 (a) states, “Each board or commission shall be represented [at Synod conventions] by its chairman or another board or commission member and by its principal staff person”; and
WHEREAS, Bylaw 3.8.2.4 states, “In carrying out its mission responsibilities, the Office of National Mission shall receive its primary focus from the mission and ministry emphases developed triennially by the national Synod in convention and from the policies developed and determined by the Board for National Mission”; and

WHEREAS, Bylaw 3.8.3.4 states, “In carrying out its mission responsibilities, the Office of International Mission shall receive its primary focus from the mission and ministry emphases developed triennially by the national Synod in convention and from the policies developed and determined by the Board for International Mission”; and

WHEREAS, Bylaw 3.4.3.1 states, “The Chief Mission Officer (CMO) shall provide staff and other resource(s) to the Board for National Mission and the Board for International Mission”; and

WHEREAS, Bylaw 3.8.2.3 states, “The Office of National Mission implements the policies of the Board for National Mission under the supervision of the CMO and shall be responsible for domestic ministries that especially serve congregations and schools through the districts of the Synod” and Bylaw 3.8.3.3 states, “The Office of International Mission implements the policies of the Board for International Mission under the supervision of the CMO and shall be responsible for the work of the Synod in foreign countries”; and

WHEREAS, The executive directors of the mission offices are directly accountable to the CMO and the President for implementing Synod programs that align with the policies of the mission boards; and

WHEREAS, Both the President and the Boards for National and International Mission recognize the important role played by both the CMO and the executive directors of the Offices of National and International Mission at the Synod conventions and floor committee meetings and have recommended that the Bylaws be revised to enable the executive directors of the Offices of National and International Mission to serve as advisory representative at Synod conventions; and

WHEREAS, The Boards for National and International Mission have previously made use of Bylaw 3.1.4.1 (c) (“Other exceptions must have the approval of the Board of Directors of the Synod prior to each convention”) to request that the executive directors of the Offices of National and International Mission be designated as an advisory representative of the Board for International Mission to the 2013 and 2016 Synod conventions; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Directors of the Synod has responded to the aforementioned requests and approved the granting of exceptions allowing the Boards for National and International Mission to designate the executive directors of the Offices of National and International Mission as an advisory representatives of the respective boards at the 2013 and 2016 Synod conventions, in accordance with 2013 Bylaw 3.1.4.1 (c); therefore be it

PRESENT/PROPOSED WORDING

Resolved, That the Synod in convention adopt the following changes to Bylaw 3.1.4.1

3.1.4.1 Each elected and appointed board and commission of the Synod shall be represented at conventions of the Synod.

(a) Each board or commission shall be represented by its chairman or another board or commission member and by its principal staff person. The boards for National and International Mission shall also be represented by the executive director of the Office of National and International Mission, respectively.

(b) Standing exceptions shall be the Board of Directors, the Commission on Constitutional Matters, the Commission on Handbook, and the Commission on Theology and Church Relations, who may be represented by as many of their membership as they deem necessary.

(c) Other exceptions must have the approval of the Board of Directors of the Synod prior to each convention.
12. Ecclesiastical Supervision and Dispute Resolution

To Establish a Procedure Based of Article XI A 2 for Removal from Office of Officers of the Synod or a District (other than the Synod or a District President).

RESOLUTION 12-11

WHEREAS, In recent conventions the Synod has adopted procedures for the removal from office of members of boards and commissions, pursuant to Bylaw 1.5.7, and of Synod reconcilers; and

WHEREAS, For a variety of reasons an officer of the Synod or a district may be unable or unwilling to fulfill the duties of office; and

WHEREAS, There is no procedure in the current bylaws for removal from office of an officer of the Synod or a district; and

WHEREAS, The Constitution of the Synod Article XI A 2, states, “The Synod at all times has the right to call its officers to account and, if circumstances require it, to remove them from office in accordance with Christian procedure”; therefore be it

Resolved, That the following bylaw be adopted and placed into the Handbook of the Synod:

Removal of Officers of the Synod or District from Office

1.5.8 Officers of the Synod and a district shall discharge the duties of office in good faith. The following are considered cause for removal from office of an officer of the Synod or a district pursuant to this Bylaw, but not from membership in the Synod:

1. Incapacity
2. Breach of fiduciary responsibilities to the Synod or a district
3. Neglect or refusal to perform duties of office
4. Conviction of a felony

1.5.8.1 Unless otherwise specified in these Bylaws, the procedure for removal of an officer of the Synod or a district from office shall be as follows:

(a) Action for removal of an officer of a district other than a district president shall require written notice to each member of that district’s board of directors at least 30 days prior to a special meeting of the board called for that purpose. A copy of such notice shall be sent to the President and the Secretary of the Synod and to the ecclesiastical supervisor, if applicable.

(b) Other than in the prior subsection (a), action for removal of an officer of the Synod other than the President of the Synod shall require written notice to each member of the Synod’s Board of Directors at least 30 days prior to a special meeting of the Board called for that purpose. A copy of such notice shall be sent to the President and the Secretary of the Synod and to the ecclesiastical supervisor, if applicable.

(c) The special meeting provided for herein shall be held no later than 60 days after the provision of the written notice, unless extended by the mutual agreement of the parties.

(d) Removal from office of an officer of a district, other than a district president, shall be effected by a vote in favor of removal by at least three-fourths of all current members of the district board of directors (excluding the officer in question if a member of the board); and

(e) Removal from office of an officer of the Synod, other than the Synod President shall be effected by a vote in favor of the recommendation of removal by at least three-fourths of all current members (excluding the officer in question if a member of the board) of the Board of Directors of the Synod.

(f) Removal pursuant to this Bylaw may be appealed by the officer who has been removed from office through the use of the Synod’s dispute resolution process as provided in Bylaw section 1.10.
1 **1.5.8.2** An individual removed as an officer pursuant hereto, who is not then automatically removed as a director of the related board or commission, may be removed as such a director pursuant to Bylaw 1.5.7. Similarly, an individual removed as a director pursuant to Bylaw 1.5.7, who is not then automatically removed as an officer of the Synod or the related district, may be removed as an officer pursuant to this Bylaw 1.5.8.

2 **[While suggested by legal counsel, this may not be necessary. Commission on Constitutional Matters (CCM) will have final word.]**

3 **1.5.8.3** The procedures for removal of an officer pursuant to this Bylaw shall be followed to the extent consistent with, and subject to, applicable law.

4 **[If 1.5.8.2 is not necessary, then 1.5.8.3 will be renumbered to 1.5.8.2.]**

---

**To Encourage Proper Pastoral Oversight in Administration of Lord’s Supper Through Visitation of Ecclesiastical Supervisors**

**RESOLUTION 12-12**


WHEREAS, The Synod has consistently encouraged its pastors and congregations to adhere to faithful communion practices; and

WHEREAS, Pastors are called to be faithful in their stewardship of the mysteries of God (1 Cor. 4:1–2; 2 Tim. 4:3–4) and are in need of encouragement to carry out this important stewardship; and

WHEREAS, St. Paul admonishes the Corinthians against eating the Lord’s Supper with divisions among them (1 Cor. 11:17–18); and

WHEREAS, The Synod in convention has commended for study the 1999 report of the Commission on Theology and Church Relations, “Admission to the Lord’s Supper”; and

WHEREAS, Concerns about communion practice still come before district and Synod conventions for resolution; and

WHEREAS, The practice of inviting all baptized believers who merely affirm the real presence while neglecting to address the necessity of unity of confession, is not consistent with the biblical and confessional position of the synod; and

WHEREAS, The Synod Handbook directs the district president to “supervise the doctrine, the life, and the official administration on the part of the ordained or commissioned ministers who are members of his district or are subject to his ecclesiastical supervision” (Bylaw 4.4.5); and

WHEREAS, The Synod Handbook makes provision for official congregational visits by the respective district president or his representative; and

WHEREAS, The Synod Handbook states that the district president is to “seek to bring about to the greatest possible degree the achievement of the synod’s objectives as expressed in Article III of its Constitution” (Bylaw 4.4.4 [b]); and

WHEREAS, The Synod Handbook states that the district president “See to it that all resolutions of the Synod
which concern the districts are made known to the districts and are carried out by them.” (Article XII, 9 (a); along with Bylaw 1.3.6); and

WHEREAS, The Synod Handbook states that “he shall conduct his official visits in an evangelical manner” (Bylaw 4.4.4 [c]) and “come to the pastor and the congregation as a brotherly advisor” (Bylaw 4.4.4 [d]); therefore be it

Resolved, That during this next triennium each district president (or his representative) be encouraged to address the congregation’s administration of the Lord’s Supper in his official visitations, spending time in the Word of God, discussing the Synod’s position on “close(d) communion”; and be it further

Resolved, That those practices which are not in harmony with the Scriptures and Lutheran Confessions be addressed fraternally and evangelically with the goal of bringing about harmony and consistent practice especially as that applies to the Lord’s Supper; and be it further

Resolved, That the President of the Synod be exhorted to see that the district presidents apply themselves faithfully to this task in accord with his responsibility as detailed in the Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod (LCMS) Constitution Article XI B, especially paragraphs 2, 3, and 4; and be it finally

Resolved, That in accord with LCMS Constitution XII 9 a and b, each district president shall report the progress of this visitation regarding proper communion practices to the Synod President no less than 90 days before the 2019 LCMS Convention so that the Synod President can report regarding this visitation resolution at that convention.

To Address Eighth Commandment Concerns via Dispute Resolution and Suspension/Expulsion Processes

RESOLUTION 12-13

Report R65 F (CW, p. 305–306 )

Rationale

Martin Luther addresses the meaning of the Eighth Commandment very directly and clearly when he says “We should fear and love God so that we do not tell lies about our neighbor, betray him, slander him, or hurt his reputation, but defend him, speak well of him, and explain everything in the kindest way.” This injunction is most important in our time, when the Internet, emails, blogs, texting, Twitter, fast self-collating copy machines, faxes, and otherwise helpful tools provide easy, readily available means for Eighth Commandment sin.

The church lives in this environment of Eighth Commandment sin, repentance, and reconciliation as she carries out all of her work— even as she resolves disputes among members of The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod (LCMS). Because dispute resolution processes can be emotionally charged, and because members of the Synod are sinners as well as saints, hurtful things are said and done. Whether by way of written or verbal statement, or by photo, video, or other man-made image, communication reaches the point where people and reputations are harmed and they suffer decreased respect and/or injury to their reputation and good name, clearly sinful defamation has occurred. Defamation has absolutely no place among Christian people, the LCMS, or its dispute resolution process. Even if statements might be true, if they do not build up God’s church and speak positively about others, they are sinful.

When defamation arises among parties in dispute resolution, it begs admonition by ecclesiastical supervisors, and if such admonition is futile, disciplinary action provided by the Synod’s bylaws. The responsibility of ecclesiastical supervisors to undertake required action in cases of defamation is of paramount importance.

To bring the matter of defamation in the dispute resolution process to the attention of the Synod, and to attempt to prevent it in the future, the Bylaws of the Synod must address it where appropriate. Hopefully these proposed bylaw amendments will suggest a higher road for walking together in times of dispute and conflict.
Therefore be it

Resolved, That the following amendments to the Bylaw sections 1.10 dispute resolution and 2.14 expulsion processes be adopted, the latter also to be applied to the section 2.15–2.17 processes as applicable.

PRESENT/PROPOSED WORDING

1.10 Dispute Resolution of the Synod

Preamble

1.10.1.4 Christian conflict resolution seeks to resolve dispute issues in a manner pleasing to God. Those in conflict are urged to proceed prayerfully in good faith and trust. Disputes are more likely to be resolved harmoniously if those involved in the conflict recognize and treat one another as redeemed children of God, not defaming but defending and speaking well of one another, seeking to explain and defend everything in the kindest way.

Definition of Terms as Used in this Bylaw Section 1.10

1.10.4 In order to communicate effectively and avoid misunderstanding regarding their use in this dispute resolution process, it is critical that terms be fully defined:

(e) **Defame**: Telling lies about, betraying, or slandering another person, or sinfully harming that person’s reputation, thereby causing decreased respect, loss of confidence in, and/or injuring his/her good name.

Informal Efforts toward Reconciliation; Consultation

1.10.5 Before any matter is submitted to the formal reconciliation process, the parties involved in a dispute must meet together, face-to-face, in a good-faith attempt to settle their dispute in the manner described in Matt 18:15 and may involve the informal use of a reconciler …. In regard to this consultation:

(e) The reputation of all parties to a dispute is to be protected as commanded in the Eighth Commandment. Failure to do so shall be regarded as a violation of the Synod’s standard for ethical conduct that may be subject to this same dispute resolution process, a removal from office process, or an expulsion process of the Synod (Bylaw section 1.10, Bylaws 1.5.7–1.5.8; Bylaw sections 2.14–2.16). The goal throughout is always one of reconciliation, of repentance and forgiveness, even if the following proceedings are carried out.

Rules of Procedures

1.10.18.1 The following rules of procedure shall be followed:

(i) Any member participating in this bylaw procedure or any other person knowledgeable of the procedure and those involved in it who intentionally and materially violates any of the requirements in this bylaw or is persistent in false accusations is subject to the disciplinary measures as set forth in the appropriate Bylaw sections 2.14–2.17 or Bylaws 1.5.7–1.5.8. Any member of the Synod who has personal factual knowledge of the violation shall inform the appropriate district president as the ecclesiastical supervisor. Violations of the prohibitions against defamation of another person’s character or reputation, or engaging in publicity while a matter is still undecided or while an appeal is contemplated or pending (Bylaw 1.10.48.1 [d] above) by any person involved or knowledgeable of the procedure are specifically included as a violation subject to the same disciplinary measures set forth in the Bylaws.

2.14 Expulsion of Congregations or Individuals from Membership in the Synod

Preamble

2.14.1 Termination of membership in the Synod is a serious matter involving both the doctrine and life of those to whom it has been granted. Such action should only be taken as a final step when it is clear that those who are being
terminated after previous futile admonition have acted contrary to the confession laid down in Constitution Art. II or the conditions of membership laid down in Constitution Art. VI or have persisted in offensive conduct (Constitution Art. XIII 1), including defamation of another person’s character or reputation.

**Definition of Terms**

2.14.2 The definitions of terms used in this bylaw are as follows:

(d) **Defame**: Telling lies about, betraying, or slandering another person, or sinfully harming that person’s reputation, thereby causing decreased respect, loss of confidence in, and/or injuring his/her good name.

**Consultation**

2.14.3 When a member congregation or individual member of the Synod is aware of information which could lead to the expulsion of a member from the Synod under Article XIII of the Constitution, prior to any formal written complaint or accusation, the member shall consult with his/her respective district president.

(c) If Bylaw section 2.14 applies, the district president shall ensure that the accuser has met face-to-face with the accused in the manner described in Matthew 18:15. Even if the alleged violation of Article XIII of the Constitution is considered to be “public,” this provision of Matt. 18:15 shall be followed. The reputation of all parties is to be protected as commanded in the Eighth Commandment. Failure to do so shall be subject to the Bylaw section 1.10 dispute resolution process, or the expulsion processes of the Synod (Bylaw sections 2.14–2.16).

**General Regulations**

2.14.10.2 Any member participating in this bylaw procedure that violates any of the requirements or procedures in this bylaw or is persistent in false accusations is subject to the same disciplinary measures as set forth in this bylaw. Violations of the prohibitions against defamation of another person’s character or reputation, or engaging in publicity while a matter is still undecided or while an appeal is contemplated or pending (Bylaw 2.14.7.8 [g]) by any of the persons involved or knowledgeable of the procedure are specifically included as violations subject to the same disciplinary measures set forth in this bylaw.

15. **Reformation**

To Encourage Study of Augsburg Confession in Commemoration of 500th Anniversary of the Reformation

RESOLUTION 15-06

Overture 15-01 (TB, p. 186)

WHEREAS, October 31, 2017 will commemorate the 500th anniversary of Martin Luther posting the Ninety-Five Theses on the castle church door in Wittenberg; and

WHEREAS, It was not Luther’s intent to create a new church but to debate questions that arose from the selling of indulgences and the theology supporting it; and

WHEREAS, The posting of the theses set off debates within Western Christianity over many biblical doctrines, such as justification, the sacraments, the church and her authority, among others; and

WHEREAS, The teachings of Luther were outlawed by the Holy Roman Empire in the May 1521 Edict of Worms; and
WHEREAS, Repeated efforts to enforce the Edict of Worms in the following decade were suspended, allowing early Lutherans to reform congregations, teach theology, publish their writings, and correct misconceptions about their thought, while many came to embrace their teachings; and

WHEREAS, At the behest of the Holy Roman Emperor, Charles V, the Augsburg Confession was presented to the emperor as a clear articulation of the teachings of the Wittenberg reformers, composed by Philipp Melanchthon and approved by Luther himself; and

WHEREAS, The Augsburg Confession unequivocally affirmed many doctrines that are fundamental to orthodox Christianity and rejected others as contrary to the Scriptures; and

WHEREAS, In an age of religious pluralism and moral relativism, knowledge of Lutheran teachings as contained in the Augsburg Confession and the biblical and historical basis for those teachings has waned or has been deemed irrelevant to contemporary religious concern within the broader culture; and

WHEREAS, The issues addressed by the Augsburg Confession are as important today as they were then; therefore be it

Resolved, That The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod in convention recognize the 500th anniversary of the Reformation by encouraging the study of the Augsburg Confession individually and corporately, formally and informally, among clergy and laity alike; and be it further

Resolved, That these efforts take place especially during the next decade between the 500th anniversaries of the posting of the Ninety-Five Theses (1517) and the presentation of the Augsburg Confession (1530).

16. Family, Youths, and Young Adults

To Encourage CTCR and Scouting Task Force to Move Quickly in Providing Guidance Concerning Scouting

RESOLUTION 16-06

Overture 16-03, (CW, pp. 476–477); Overture L16-05 (TB, pp. 35–36)

WHEREAS, Many congregations of the LCMS desire to continue providing scout-like organizations for both boys and girls; and

WHEREAS, A Scouting Task Force has been appointed under the Office of the President of the LCMS working to provide guidance to congregations and families regarding involvement with such organizations; and

WHEREAS, The CTCR has been asked to evaluate the content of the statements of faith required by some scouting organizations; and

WHEREAS, The Scouting Task Force cannot complete its assigned task until the CTCR has rendered its opinion; therefore be it

Resolved, That the CTCR expedite their work in this matter; and be it further

Resolved, That the Scouting Task Force move quickly with their work to provide such needed guidance; and be it finally

Resolved, That this guidance be made available within 12–18 months from the conclusion of this convention.
PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE RESOLUTION

TO OVERRULE CCM OPINION 14-2724

SUBSTITUTE RESOLUTION 2-06


WHEREAS, the Word of God (Acts 13) clearly reveals that in the first century of the New Testament, a local congregation of believers in the Lord Jesus, assembled in Antioch, after much prayer and under the guidance of the Holy Spirit, freely consecrated and sent missionaries, notably Barnabas and Saul, into mission fields; and

WHEREAS, Article III. 2 of the Constitution of The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod (LCMS) identifies an objective of the Synod as to “Strengthen congregations and their members in giving bold witness by word and deed to the love and work of God, the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, and extend that Gospel witness into all the world;” and

WHEREAS, Article VII of the Constitution of The LCMS states, “In relation to its members, the Synod is not an ecclesiastical government exercising legislative or coercive powers, and with respect to the individual congregation’s right of self-government it is but an advisory body”; and

WHEREAS, Article XIV of the Constitution states, “The Synod in convention may adopt bylaws that are consistent with and do not contradict the Constitution of the Synod, which controls and supersedes such bylaws and all other rules and regulations of the Synod”; and

WHEREAS, 2010 Synod Convention Res. 8-32B encourages the Synod to study Article VII of the Constitution, including study of “Congregations and Synod, Background Material on the Advisory Nature of the LCMS” along with opinions of the Commission on Constitutional Matters (CCM) related to this topic (including CCM Opinion 09-2573 listed in a “Whereas” paragraph in Resolution 8-32B); and

WHEREAS, 1983 Synod Convention Res. 5-10A in a “Resolved” clause states that The LCMS reaffirms that synod polity is essentially and principally congregational in nature; and

WHEREAS, CCM Opinion 09-2573 states in part that “. . . there is a common understanding that a congregation exercises its self-government . . . in carrying out . . . its own ministry programs and financial affairs...”; and

WHEREAS, Synod Bylaw 3.8.3 deals only with who has authority to send ministers of religion and other workers into Synod foreign mission fields on behalf of the Synod as a whole, and prior bylaws have been careful not to restrict congregational activity specifically relating to whom congregations could send funds overseas, and nothing in the current bylaws restrict or could restrict the right of member congregations to (a) fund ministries in foreign countries, or (b) send/fund congregation members for service with organizations seeking to share the Gospel; and

WHEREAS, 1983 Synod Convention Res. 5-37 amending the bylaws only dealt with who on behalf of Synod as a whole could send missionaries at the expense of the Synod, and where the restriction applied, it being a sending bylaw only, clearly not applicable to congregations in any event; and

WHEREAS, CCM Opinion 14-2724 referring to 2013 Synod Convention Res. 1-08, “To Work Together in Mission,” called for a two-fold response: First, “Resolved, That the Synod, by the next convention, develop and provide a mission best-practices policy document for districts and congregations engaged in mission projects to assist them to better carry out their mission and their life together”, indicating that districts and congregations have and are recognized to have mission work and practices of their own, and because they have their own mission work and practices, the Synod should assist and support those efforts by developing a best-practices policy; and second, “that these best practices include encouragement to districts and congregations to communicate their international mission activities to the Synod’s Director of Church Relations and Offices of National and International Mission for
the purposes of healthy coordination and stewardship,” (underlined portions indicate emphasis added), indicating that districts and congregations can have their own mission activities and when they do they are encouraged to communicate these activities to the Synod for coordination and good stewardship; and

WHEREAS, CCM Opinion 14-2724 states in part, “Congregations may not send funds to mission societies and non-Synod entities for work in foreign areas without taking into consideration policies developed and determined for this purpose by the Board of International Mission as the only sending agency;” and

WHEREAS, CCM Opinion 14-2724 incorrectly restricts the mission outreach of congregations and others to give bold witness by word and deed to the love and work of God the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, and extend that Gospel witness into all the world; therefore be it

Resolved, that the congregations of The LCMS be encouraged, with much prayer and under the guidance of the Holy Spirit, to send missionaries to foreign areas; and be it further

Resolved, That the Synod affirms and encourages congregations, auxiliaries, recognized service organizations, districts, and individual members of the Synod, in their efforts to give bold witness by word and deed, to the love and work of God the Father, Son and Holy Spirit, and extend that Gospel witness to all the world; and be it further

Resolved, that nothing in the bylaws of Synod should ever be interpreted to limit efforts by congregations, auxiliaries, recognized service organizations, districts, and individual members of the Synod, to give bold witness by word and deed to the love and work of God the Father, Son and Holy Spirit, and extend that Gospel witness to all the world, but only be interpreted as requesting information which might allow Synod to support (and to assist in connecting potential support for) congregations and individual members, to assist in connecting potential mission partners, and to provide best practices information which could assist the efforts; and be it finally

Resolved, that the Synod in convention overrule CCM Opinion 14-2724, to be thereby declared null and void and of no effect.

The Sacrament is set for the Opening Divine Service of the 66th Regular Convention of The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod on Saturday, July 9, 2016, at the Wisconsin Center in Milwaukee.
**Notices**

**Note about each cover of Today’s Business issues**: The reference to pages on the bottom right side of the front page begins with pages from the First Issue of Today’s Business (Proposed Resolutions) and continues with each daily issue ending with the current issue page numbers.

---

**Please stop by the Concordia Plan Services booth** to sign up for free lunch and learns, held Sunday – Tuesday.

- **Sunday, Room 102c**  
  Weaving wellness and stewardship for healthier ministries

- **Sunday, Room 103c**  
  Retirement readiness - tailoring your retirement

- **Monday, Room 102c**  
  Weaving wellness and stewardship for healthier ministries

- **Monday, Room 103c**  
  Retirement readiness - tailoring your retirement plans

- **Tuesday, Room 102c**  
  Concordia Health Plan's Medicare benefits overview

- **Tuesday, Room 103c**  
  Your Concordia Plans - benefits for today and tomorrow

A ticket for entry is required. Get your tickets at the Concordia Plan Services booth. Space is limited.

---

**Welcome to Milwaukee LCMS convention participants!**

Be sure and stop by the Concordia Seminary exhibit between 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Sunday.

- **Special giveaway**: green and gold T-shirts  
  Just share a picture on social media (or we will do it for you!) using #ConcordiaSem and #UponThisRock

- **Coming Monday**: Cross flash drives  
  Coming Tuesday: Jolt chargers plus any extra items including Martin Luther flash drives, T-shirts and cross flash drives!

Be sure and sign up for our special giveaway basket that includes a faculty book, Concordia Blend coffee, two mugs and a Pils framed print of the Seminary. The winner will be announced in Wednesday’s Today’s Business.

We also have postcards you can fill out to send a note of encouragement to one of our seminarians.
Today’s LCMS exhibit staff: Leaders in Mercy Ministries

10:00 a.m. - 1:00 p.m.
- Rev. Joel Hempel, Specialized Pastoral Ministry
- Tracy Quaethem, Life and Health Ministries
- Deaconess Grace Rao, Deaconess Ministry

12:00 p.m. - 3:00 p.m.
- Rev. Ross Johnson, Disaster Response
- Rev. Dr. Carlos Hernandez, Gospel Seeds, Soldiers and Veterans of the Cross

LCMS Human Resources’ representatives will be in the LCMS exhibit booth to discuss job opportunities, each day exhibits are open.

Hearing Difficulties? If you have a need for a hearing assistance device, please stop by the Lutheran Friends of the Deaf/Mill Neck exhibit booth (in Hall D, booth 525). They have about a dozen devices that will pick up the sound in the convention hall, amplify and transmit to earphones (think of the old Walkmans but smaller and lighter.) Simply stop by their booth to check out a device Sunday. They will collect your contact information and work with you to get the units back from at the conclusion of convention.

Thank you to the Lutheran Friends of the Deaf/Mill Neck for providing hearing assistance devices. We deeply appreciate the use of the hearing amplification devices and our partnership with you.

Transportation to Concordia Wisconsin Event
Are you heading to the Concordia Wisconsin Event on Monday evening? To board the shuttles, take the escalators to the street level; exit at 4th and Wells Street doors. Shuttle service begins at 6 pm

The Pilgrim, a new novel by Rev. Fred Baue, published by Pergola Press, is now available at the CPH booth. It is about a young man who leaves home in Missouri, travels to San Francisco, and founds a successful rock band (“The Pilgrims”) just in time for the 1967 Summer of Love. On a deeper level, Paul, the main character, becomes a serious pilgrim in search of truth and reality in a confusing world. Add in baseball, humor, love, Lutheran theology, and German cooking, and you have a very engaging book.

Saturday Basket Drawing Winner Congratulations to Pastor Robert Weldon, Elyria Ohio! Stop by the Concordia Plan Services booth to pick up your prize.

Winner: Daily drawings for an iPad Mini are happening at the LCMS Booth in the exhibit hall. Saturday’s winner is James Matthews of Lakewood, Wash. Congratulations!
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